App F IX 4

Previous References

19 48-49

(ME)

File No 194-48

Serial Nos 1, 2, 3

Later References

2214 49 B A 60

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Three

P-197
E.K.

Part B for March 49

SUBJECT

Extending the operation of the Bangalore Trust Board Act

L 10538/ME 194-48-2 Dt 18-3-49

The Compiler Mys Gazette

Notifees

Copy 1) The D C B'lore.
2) " ME Commr B'lore
3) " Ch BTB
4) " R C.

WD 015467—GPB—25 000—12-9 51

CC 17/7/52

…of ~~Villages~~ Coming within five mile limit from the present Bangalore…
…-1301/46-47 dated 18-10-46 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, B'lore,

Sl. No.	Name of the Villages that come within five mile Area		Whether the Village Panchay… are agreeable to the propos… Improvement Trust Boar…
			Bangalore South Talu…
1	Kengeri Hobli Mylasandra Village panchayet		Agreed
2	Pantharapalya	"	Agreed
3	Deevatiramanahally	"	Agreed
4	Patnagere	"	Agreed
5	Nagadevanahally	"	Agreed
6	Kenchenahally	"	Agreed
7	Sonnenahally	"	"
8	Valagerahally	"	"
9	Nayandahally	"	"
	Utharahalli Hobli		
10	Channasandra Village panchayet		Not Agreeable stating it is …
11	Bikasipura	"	Not Agreeable stating it is Eigh…
12	Ittamadu	"	Agreed
13	Arahally	"	Agreed
14	Vaddarapalya	"	Not Agreeable stating it is Seve…
15	Marasandra	"	Not Agreeable stating it is …
	Chunchaghatta	"	Not Agreeable stating it is …
	Kadirenahally	"	Agreed
	Karisandra	"	Agreed
1	Puttenahally	"	Agreed
	Konamkunte Village	"	Agreed
1	Gubbalalu	"	Not Agreeable stating it is …
2	Vasanthapura	"	Not Agreeable stating it is Eigh…
3	Jodi Govinayakanahally	"	Not Agreeable stating it is Seve…
4	Yalachenahally	"	Not Agreeable stating it is ~~above~~ beyond
5	Sarakki	"	Agreed
	Byrasandra	"	Agreed only for inclusion of Re… Number lands
	Dasarahally	"	"
2	Yediyur-Nagasandra	"	"
2	Zhayappanahally	"	"
3	Avalahally	"	"
31	Jaraganahally	"	"
32	Hosakerehally	"	"
3	Kathri Guppe	"	"
	Marenahally	"	"
	Yadiyur Village panchayet		"

...city Municipal boundaries and other particulars called for in the
... to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

...ets concerned ...l of the city ...e	Date of the Resolution	Number of the Resolution the file	...the ...n	Remarks
...k.				
	20-5-47	12		
	30-5-47	4		
	30-5-47	5		
	30-5-47	6		
	30-5-47	7		
	30-5-47	8		
	—	9		
	29-5-47	10		
	12-5-47	11		
	—	—	—	
...ne miles away	24-5-47	13		
...t miles away	24-5-47	14		
	24-5-47	15		
	24-5-47	16		
...r miles away	20-5-47	17		
...e miles away	25-5-47	18		
...ne miles away	22-5-47	19		
	24-5-47	20		
	22-5-47	21		
— — —	22-5-47	22		
—	22-5-47	23		
...e miles away	22-5-47	24		
...t miles away	25-5-47	25		
...r miles away	26-5-47	26		
...five miles	25-5-47	27		
	23-5-47	28		
...venue Survey	11-3-47	29		
	8-4-47	30		
	8-4-47	31		
	8-4-47	32		
	11-3-47	33		
	12-3-47	34		
	13-3-47	35		
	13-3-47	36		
	10-3-47	37		
	14-3-47	38		

P.T.O.

of Villages coming within five mile limit from the present Bangalo[re]
5-1301/46-47 dated 18-10-46 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore Bangal[ore]

[Seri]al [Nu]mber	Name of the Villages that come within five mile Area from the present Municipal Boundary	Whether the Village Panchayets Co[nsider] agreeable to the proposal of the C[ity] Trust Board
	Kasaba Hobli	**Bangalore North Ta[luk]**
1	Kayamguttea Mathikere Village Panchayet	Agreed
2	Thimmenahally —— " ——	Agreed
3	Karithimmenahally —— " ——	Agreed
4	Byatarayanapura —— " ——	Agreed
5	Kariyannanapalya —— " ——	Agreed
6	Jodi Kacharakanahalli —— " ——	"
7	Lingarajapura - "——	" ——
8	Hebbal - —— " ——	" ——
9	Viswanathanaganahalli - " ——	"
10	Gudadahalli —— " ——	— " — ———
11	Nagasettihalli —— " ——	"
12	Cholanayakanahalli (Inam) — " ——	Not Agreed —— --
[1]3	Geddalahalli	Agreed
[1]4	Devarajeevanahalli (Inam) —— " ——	"
	Kadugondanahalli (Inam) —— " ——	—— " ——
	Kaval Byrasandra —— —— " ——	— " —— --
[1]7	Nagavara —— " --	" - ——
18	Hennur - —— - " ——	"
19	Matadahalli —— " ——	" ——
20	Vyalikaval —— - " ——	—— " ——
21	Gangenahalli —— " ——	—— " ——
	Yeswanthpur Hobli —— ——	———
22	Yeswathpur Village Panchayet	Agreed -
23	Kethamaranahalli 17 " ——	Agreed ——
24	Sivanahalli —— - " ——	- " -- -
25	Saneguruvanahalli -- —— " ——	- " ——

"f Villages coming within five mile limit from the present Bangal
·1301/46-47 dated 18-10-46 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore Banga

Sl No	Name of the Villages that come within five mile Area from the present Municipal Boundary	Whether the Village Panchayet Co agreeable to the proposal of the C Trust Board
	Kasaba Hobli	**Bangalore North Ta**
1	Kayamgutka Mathikere Village Panchayet	Agreed
2	Thimmenahally — " —	Agreed
3	Karithimmenahally — " —	Agreed
4	Byatarayanapura — " —	Agreed
5	Kariyannanapalya — " —	Agreed
6	Jodi Kacharakanahalli — " —	"
7	Lingarajapura — " —	"
8	Hebbal — " —	"
9	Viswanathanaganahalli — " —	"
10	Gudadahalli — " —	"
11	Nagasettihalli — " —	"
12	Cholanayakanahalli (Inam) — " —	Not Agreed
13	Geddalahalli	Agreed
	Devarajeevanahalli (Inam) — " —	"
	Kadugondanahalli (Inam) — " —	"
	Kaval Byrasandra — " —	"
7	Nagavara — " —	"
18	Hennur — " —	"
19	Matadahalli — " —	"
20	Vyalikaval — " —	"
21	Gangenahalli — " —	"
	Yeswanthpur Hobli	
22	Yeswathpur Village Panchayet	Agreed
23	Kethamaranahalli " —	Agreed
24	Sivanahalli — " —	"
25	Saneguruvanahalli — " —	"

pal boundaries and other particulars called for in the letter No
~~...~~ Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

Date of the Resolution	Number of the Resolution in the file	Remarks
4-1-47	No 4	
2-1-47	" 5	
1-1-47	6	
—	7	
28-12-46	8	
28-12-46	8	
28-12-46	9	
20-12-46	10	
20-12-46	11	
20-12-46	12	
17-12-46	13	
13-12-46	15	
16-12-46	14	
25-12-46	16	
25-12-46	17	
28-12-46	18	
21-1-47	19	This village is situated at a distance of six miles from the city Municipal boundary
20-12-47	20	
8-12-46	35	
10-12-46	36	
3-12-46	37	
12-12-46	26	
12-12-46	25	
19-12-46	24	
19-12-46	23	

G. Sivan Anjaiah [signature]
for Deputy Commissioner
B'lore District
2/10/47

Rural and Revenue Secretariat | File No 1936 C 102/46-47 of 194 1/10 | App F III 2

Serial No

From the Chairman, C I T Board, Bangalore
667 d 4-9-46

Requests sanction to the Resolution dated 28-8-46, of the Board re the issue of a notification extending the limits of the Boards operations to the extent of five miles from the city Municipal boundaries

1 This will be sent to the Revenue Commissioner for opinion

2 A draft letter is put up for appl

Rev Secy [illegible] 30/9/46

30/9

3 Issue [illegible] 1/10/46

To The Revenue Com
R933 d 12-10-46
calls for his opinion

Sus A

4 The P A to the Minister for P H & Edn wanted to know the stage in which the above question has been pending

5 This may be [illegible] to the Minister for perusal

6 The receipt of report called for from the Rev Com is awaited

Rev Secy [illegible] 6/11/46

Minister for Edn & P H) [illegible] 7/XI/[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR EDUCATION & P H
Date 7 11 46
No 3930

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Urgent action has to be taken to prevent the springing up of unauthorised & planless private layouts & buildings in a five mile zone from the Muni limits. Pending the issue of the Notification as recommended by the City Improvement Tr Bd, the D C ~~may~~ B'lore Dist may be asked not to permit the conversion of agricultural lands for non-agricultural purpose within a radius of five miles from the City Muni limits without consulting the Tr Bd

A

I find there is unnecessary delay in dealing with this paper — About 25 days for working up the paper and 12 days for issuing a draft to the R.C.

All ~~papers~~ important papers ~~relat~~ received from the City Municipalities & the Tr Bds shd be submitted to me ~~for personal~~ before referring such papers to other officers for further information

C F 69]

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

Branch Order

so that I may be aware
at what stage the proposal stand
~~Infor~~ Opinion of the
8 R.C. may be
Obtained immediately 18/11/46

Rev Secy
12/11

8 pl take further action at once
12/11/46

C F 69]

Continuation Sheet   File No / Current No 1976/   of   Page

9) Subd with two drafts

10-1) Issue the draft approved [illegible]
11) 2) regarding the other point, please put up an extract for being sent to the [illegible] for necessary action

13/11/46

13/11

To The Revenue [illegible]
L 5952 dated 13-11-46
Reminds him for an immediate reply in the matter

L 5953 dated 13-11-46
Copy to the Chairman, C & T Board, [illegible]

12) A typed copy of the Extract is put up re A supra.

13) This will be sent to the Revenue Branch, for taking the Extract and returning the file.

3046/R

14) Asst Secy [illegible] 13/11/46
L & A [illegible]

13/11

I. Res.
14/11

14 11 46

15) Extract taken the file may be returned to the L.S.G. [illegible]

13/11/46

16/11/46
14/11/46

20/11/46

L.S.G. Br.)

20/11/46

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 1986 / 3747 of 194 | App F III

Serial No

From the Revenue Board in Mysore
No 1301 dated 18-11-46
States that the D C Bangalore Dist has been reminded for a report and that a further communication would be made to Govt on receipt of his report

16) The receipt of further report from the Revenue Board will be awaited till 2-12-46

17) yes

21/11 — 21/11/46

18) A D-O reminder is put up

4/12

19) I make it more — 4/12/46

To The Revenue Board
L 8339 dated 4/12-46
Reminds for an immediate reply
20 Redeemed

4/12/46 — Rev Secy) 4/12/46

21) Ref: Minister's phone message

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR EDUCATION ... P
Date 4/12/46
3777

Minister for Edn) Sd/- 5/12

22) I want to know whether orders have been issued regarding A in para 6 — 6/12/46

7/12

NOTE —Contn Sheet

23 In favour of [illegible] in the [illegible] refd to in para 22 supra

Asst Secy [illegible] for [illegible]
7/12/46

[illegible] 9/12/46

[illegible] 7/12

3614 R

REVENUE BRANCH (stamp)

24 Instructions as ordered by the Minister for Public Health and Edn at para 6 (A) of the note have issued on 27/11/46

16/12/46 [illegible] 10-12-46

25) A copy of the letter addressed to the Re may be placed in this file before it is retd to the LSG Br

26) Copy put up in the file

LSG Br) [illegible] 13/12

[illegible] 13-12-46

27) Kind ref[illegible] is invited to the [illegible] issuing orders to the Revenue in accordance with the minutes of the Minister for Edn & P.H. at A in para 6 supra for perusal - refer para 22 supra

(A copy of this letter will be sent to the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board also for information)

[illegible] 14/12/46

M

28) Yes [illegible]
[illegible] 17/12/[illegible]

WD 03814—GPB—15 000—20 7 16

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 3747 / R of 194 | App F III 2

Serial No

27) A memo is put up

[illegible] 18/12

28) Issue at once & resubmit
[illegible] 18/12/46

To The Chairman C & T War [illegible]

Fds a copy of letter No 5243/C R 212-46- , d 27-11-46 directing the Revenue Board to consult the Trust Board before allowing conversion of agricultural lands for non-agricultural purposes within a radius of 5 miles from municipal limits

Office of the Minister for Education etc.
Date 27/12/46
No. 4292

Section
19 DEC 1946
Gen & Rev Sectt

31) Resubmitted

[illegible] 20/12 — 32) May be submitted to the minister for Edn & PH for perusal of action taken as above, with reference to the orders at para 22 supra

[illegible] 20/12/46

33) "Minister for Edn & PH") For perusal
[illegible] 23/12

34) Has the D.C received these orders and if so when & what action has he taken. I should like to know whether any conversions of agricultural lands to non agricultural lands have been sanctioned by the DC & the [illegible] during the past 3 months
[illegible] 2/1/47

35) Pl enquire
[illegible] 2/1/47

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No

36) Submitted with a draft letter

37) Issue at once & resubmit

[illegible] 3/1

TYPING SECTION 4 JAN 1947 G&R SECTT

[illegible] 3/1/47

To The Deputy Commr Bangalore Dist
L9442 dated 6-1-1947
Calls for the above information in regard to the Conversion of Agricultural land for non-Agricultural purposes referred to in para 34 supra

The Revenue Commr in Mysore
L9444 dd 6-1-47
Fwds copy for information

38) Resubmitted for perusal of the Ministers orders at para 35 supra

[illegible] 6/1/47

Rangey [illegible]

39 [illegible] 8/1/47 [illegible]

40 A D-O reminder is put up

[illegible] 23/1/47

41 Issue at once

L 1048 [illegible] 24/1/47

TYPING SECTT. 24 JAN 1947 G&R REV SECTT

Submitted

42 Orders of the Dewan on the statement showing the stages of pendency of several questions relating to the C I T Board, Bangalore:—

"A report to be submitted by 5th Feb D C to be reminded. The file may be submitted to me on my return from Delhi"

(Sd) A R M 21/1/47

SWS [illegible]

Serial No

43 A draft reminder is put up to the D.C. Bangalore Dist.

[illegible] 28/1 [illegible] Another dft reminder to the Rev. Comr. is put up.

Pl. issue both of them at once

[illegible]
28/1 [illegible]

[illegible] A

R 10749 d 31/1/47 – D.C. Bangalore
R 10750 d 31/1/47 – R. C.

From the D.C. Bangalore Dist.
No A3-3220/46-47 d 29/1/47 forwards a report in the matter

46) The D.C. Bangalore Dist. is reported to have issued instructions to S.D.O. Bangalore to prevent the grant of permission for converting the agricultural lands for building purposes. He reports that only in three cases, permission for conversion has been granted. In one case, the Administrator, C & M Board is said to have been consulted and as regards other two cases, it is said that the properties are in the limits of C & M Station.

47) As regards issuing a notification prohibiting the construction

File No 6208 of 194 | General and Revenue Secretariat | Serial No

of buildings, the report from the R c is still due. Another draft reminder is put up.
Ma
8/2/47

48) Issue at once
Ms. may be put up for sign. to Rev. Sey.
8/2/47

TYPING SECTION
8 FEB 1947
G. & REV. SECTT.

To,

The Rev Commr — L 11058
8/2/47

49) Resubmitted for perusal of the Rev Dept letter dated 29 1 47 — reference 34 & 42 supra
Ma
8/2/47
Rev Secy
10/2/47

Minister for Edn & PH
10/2/47

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR EDUCATION & P.H.
Date 11-2-47
No 5264

50)
12/2/47
13/2/47

Sub A
Ma
17/2/47

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

SECY. SECRETARIAT 6224 11 FEB 1947 L S G BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

No A5 1301/46-47

Dated, Bangalore, 4th February '47

To

The Revenue Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore,

Sir,

Subject - Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board - Bangalore - To a limit of five miles from the - - - - existing Municipal Boundaries -

With reference to your letter No L 10750 dated 31st January 1947 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the report called for in this connection from the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner has not yet been received in spite of reminders He has again been reminded today Immediately after the receipt of the report from him, a further reference will be made in this behalf

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Narayanan

Revenue Commissioner

OFFICE OF THE ... FOR EDUCATION ... Date 11 2 47 No 5246

57) It has become a job to get these reports & Govt is being blamed for delays Minister for Ed ...

[handwritten initials] 10/2/47

[handwritten notes and signatures] 13/2/47

S.O. Pl put this up with the connected file 14/2

6208 / 6224 / 8653

From the Rev commissioner

No AS-1301/46 & 15/4/47

Promises to send for the report on the subject referred to therein

54 submitted for perusal.

Ma 21/4

55) The Rev Commr's report on the subject is for perusal. - refer para 52 supra

Rev Secy [illegible] 21/4

56) [illegible] 24/4

[illegible]

[illegible] Mo 25/4

From the Rev commr

No AS 1301/46-47 & 25/10-47

From the chairman, Trust Board, Bangalore

No G 167/47 & 14-11-47

Furnishes the information called for

2258 / 2547/L

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

57) The Rev commissioner's report is submitted for perusal. It is seen therefrom that he is also in favour of extending the limit of the City Improvement Trust Board operations at Bangalore to 5 miles from the municipal limits.

58) It is for orders if the chairman of the Trust Board may not be requested to submit in the first instance a draft notification defining the boundaries of the area to be covered under this proposal, for the consideration of Govt.

[illegible] 27/11

59) It would also appear to be necessary to obtain a map of the area which will be affected if the ~~[illegible]~~ proposal of the Impt Trust Board is approved, and to know the approximate (if not the actual) extent of the land involved. If approved the Chairman will be requested to furnish this also in consultation with the Survey Dept if necessary.

[illegible] 27/11/47 60) Another file in which the Chairman, C.I.T.B. has suggested the issuing of a notification prohibiting the construction of houses ~~[illegible]~~ in the villages lying within a radius of five miles from the mpl limits of Bangalore etc has been referred to the Local Boards' Branch (Village Panchayat Section) for [illegible]

2547/2

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

61) This file in which almost an identical question is dealt with may also be referred to that Branch (LB) for an expression of opinion as to how far the proposed arrangement would take away the autonomous powers or otherwise affect the powers vested in the village panchayats concerned under the V P Act

Rev Secy for
14/12/47

L B Br)

62/ [initials]
15/12/47

[initials] 15/12/47

M L Br No 2549
L B Br No 2105

63) Subd The previous file has been submitted to the Minister for Finance & Industries on the 9th inst

HM
22/12/47

64) The return of the file will be awaited

Rev Secy

[initials] 26/12

5301

30/12

65/ [initials]
30/12

[initials] 2/1/48

Memo Br

66) submitted
~~orders~~ This will be re-submitted after the receipt of orders on the other file referred to at para 63

[initials] 3/1
[initials] 3.1.48

Rev Secy for
5/1/48.

67) The orders on the other file may be awaited please bring up in the
[initials]
[initials] 6/1/48 [initials] 12/1/48

CWD 06477—GPB—5 000—9 8 47

2547/L

68) This may be again be referred to the L B branch for stating as to whether any order has since been passed on the other file referred to para 63 supra

for compliance

ma 16/1 Br 16/1/48

L B Branch
16/1/48

69) Subd The file has not been received back from the office of the Minister for Finance & Industries

JM 17/1

Jud Br

17/1/48

70) This may be sent to the L B branch again for further necessary action if the file may have been recd back

3685 LB 24/2

ma 23/2 Br 23/2/48

L B Branch 24/2/48

71) Subd

The file has not been received back from the Minister

26/2/48

Jud Br

2/3/48

3594/LG

72) submitted with reference to para 71 supra.

73) The other file said to have been submitted to the Minister for Finance & Industries relates to the proposal to prohibit the construction of buildings in certain villages coming within the area of the Industrial Suburb, Bangalore

74) But the file in question relates to the proposal to extend the operation of the Bangalore Trust Board to the extent of 5 miles from the city municipal limits which includes several villages. Hence this question may perhaps be pursued separately and it is not necessary to wait for the return of the other file referred to above. Moreover, the Chairman of the Bangalore Trust Board has been urging for the issue of early orders in this connection

2547/ml

75) In the light of the above note, The L B branch may be requested to take further necessary action in the matter

3894
LB 8/3

76) It is not clear whether orders are sought in the LB Branch on possibly the same point as the one on which the opinion of that Branch is asked for in para 64. If this is not the case that Branch may be requested to furnish the opinion called for and help in the early disposal of the question

ma 2/3

Pr 4 3 48 LB Branch 5/3/48

Resubmitted.

77) The file referred to the Local Boards Branch relates to the question of issue of a notification under Sec 29 of the Village Panchayet Act prohibiting the construction of Buildings except with the permission of a competent authority to be specified in the notification- Deputy Commissioner or the Government. In any case, it is obligatory that the village panchayets concerned should be consulted and their resolution obtained before the issue of a notification. It was therefore suggested in the file referred to the L.B. Branch, that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore be asked to report if any such prohibitory notification is already issued in respect of certain villages referred to by the Chairman of the Trust Board (Kethumaranhalli, Junganahalli etc.) and if not to obtain and forward the ~~xxxxx~~ resloutions of the village panchayets in this behalf. A draft letter is submitted for approval separately.

3894
UB

The point for consideration in this file is to extend the ~~[struck out]~~ scope of the operations of the City Improvement Trust Board in respect of lands situted within five miles from the City so that the activities of the Trust Board may be carried on quickly without ~~[struck out]~~ impedement. In the event of the possibility of extending the area of operations of the Trust Board, there appears to be no need for the issue of notifications under the provisions of the Village Panchayet Act and the present file may be dealtwith in the Municipal Branch and the question under issue disposed of in that Branch.

(VP 39-35)

H.W. 78) It is however seen in the V.P collection (VP 39-35) placed in the linked file that a notification under Sec 29 of the Village Panchayet Act has already been issued in respect of Kethumarnhalli and ~~whether this notification is applicable to~~ other villages ~~which are presumbly hamlets of that main village may be examined.~~ which are within five miles of city

79) With these remarks the file may be transferred to the Municipal Branch for further necessary action.

[initials] 8/3/48

[initials] 10/3

80) A Notification has been issued as far back as 1937 under Section 29 A of the Village Panchayet Act prohibiting the erection of any building in Siddapur and 7 other Villages round about Bangalore except with the permission granted by the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore (Vide Notification No. L78 dated 20/7/1937 in V P File No. 39 of 35 placed below).

81) If there are any other Villages within a radius of five miles from Bangalore in respect of which similar prohibitory notifications under section 29 of the Village Panchayet Act are proposed to be issued, the Panchayets concerned will have to be consulted in the matter (Vide the then Revenue Commissioner's opinion at para 26 of the notes in V P File No. 39 of 35).

82) The question ~~however~~ for

Serial No

consideration, however, in this file is not one prohibiting the construction of houses in Villages lying within a radius of five miles from Bangalore. The question is one of extending the operation of the City Improvement Act within that area and the issue of a Notification for that purpose under ~~Section~~ sub-section (2) of section 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act. Whether such a Notification may issue or not is a matter to be dealt with and decided in the Administrative Sectt concerned viz the Municipal Branch

A

4255/1715

MAV 16/3/48

Dep Secy

83) I think such a notification can be issued under the provision of the Bangalore City Improvement Trust Bd Act. This may be examined & needful action taken at once

(M l Br)

S
18/3/48

MAV 18/3/48

[illegible] 18/3/48

[illegible]

Extending the operation of the
-547/ Bangalore Trust Board to a limit
File No of five miles of 194

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

84) As seen from the report of the Rev Commr and the D C Bangalore as the 9 village panchayets have objected to the proposal and that 15 village Panchayets have agreed to surrender only the revenue survey Nos of their villages (not the grama thana) to be included under the Trust Board management

85) It is for orders if the opinion of the Trust Board may not be called for in the first instance on the above said village panchayets resolution.

86) Submitted with reference to para 83 supra

31/3/48

87) The remarks of the Trust Board as at para 85 may be called for as also information on the points as to what the total area involved in the proposal is and whether the Trust Board is at present in a position to undertake improvement schemes in respect of this area (which would appear to be quite a large one) in addition to its present activities

For orders

Rev Secy

31/3/48

88) Please bring up with the C I T Bd Act
2/4/48

89) Yes
2/4/48

90) Dft letter pl
7/4/48

7

2547/48 extending the operation of the Bangalore
6798 Trust Board to a limit of five miles.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 194 | App Γ III-2

Serial No

91) Submit this with a draft letter to the Chairman, Bangalore Trust Board — Ref para 89 supra

Ma 9/4/48 92) Issue 12/4/48

To,

The Chairman, B T B

L-15915 d 19/4/48 calls for further information

Sns A Ma 19/4

Letter No 404/47 d 6/5/48 from the Chairman Bangalore Trust Board in the matter

93) Submit this with a draft letter calling for information as to the total area involved in this respect.

Ma 21/5

94) Issue 21/5/48

Joor 21/5

To,

The Chairman, Bangalore T

L 17130 d 26/5 calls for certain infor

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Sns A Ma 31/5

Extending the ~~limits of land~~ operations of the Trust Board, Bangalore

File No of 194 | General and Revenue Secretariat | Serial No

16/7

From the Chairman, Bangalore Trust Board

No 467/47 d 22/6/48 furnishes the information called for

95) Submitted with a draft letter to the D C Bangalore Dist calling for information as to the actual no of villages coming within the 5 miles belt

96) This list is available in the file & the note of the L.B. Branch
Ma 4/8
[illegible]

97) Submitted the idea of the Trust Board is ~~[illegible]~~ ~~no unauthorised private layouts~~ to prevent the formation of un-authorised layouts all round the Municipal limits of Bangalore. Hence it is proposed to extend the ~~off~~ limit of the Trust Board's operation to the extent of five miles from the ex- municipal limits

18/ml ~~Explaining the provisions of Bangalore~~ Trust Board Act

98) If the proposal is approved, the civil station, Bangalore also comes within this five mile belt. It is perhaps desirable to get the definite opinion of the Trust Board as to whether the civil station area may be included in or excluded from the scheme in question. A draft letter is put up accordingly to the Chairman, Bangalore Trust Board.

99) Another draft letter is also put up to the D C Bangalore (urban)

ma 4/10 Pr. & W.R.F.

100) Please put up a copy of the Land Acqn Manual

8/10/48

101) Submitted

Only one copy of the Manual is available & the same has been put up in connection with the proposal received from Rev. Commr seeking approval of Govt ~~in respect of the awards~~ for the awards passed by the D C Mysore in connection with the acquisition of properties for the Jayalakshmipuram Extn, Mysore. This will be resubmitted with the Manual, after the return of the other file, if approved

A

ma 24/10 Pr. & W.R.F.

102) A - yes; quickly please

29/10 [illegible]

103) Submitted with a copy of the Manual

ma 23/11

104) Pl put up an extract of the orders of the Minister for Home in the other file relating to the extension of the provisions of the Trust Board Act to the civil station area, above & issue of instructions to the Chairman to examine unauthorised constns in pursuance to villages within a radius of 3 or 5 miles of [illegible] - what is relevant to the subject of this file, besides setting in its matter of this file,

disposal

[illegible]
3/11/[illegible]

105) The following is the extract of the orders of the Home Minister in the file re the representation of one Srimathi Thungamma for converting her agricultural lands in Byrasandra village. —

"I want the Chairman to examine the question of ~~[illegible]~~ unauthorised constructions within 5 mile zone around Bangalore & suggest measures for prohibiting such construction"

(Sd) T M
8 23/11

Bangalore Trades Association

Founded 1839 (Incorporated under the Indian Companies Act 1882)

— MEMBER —
IATION OF TRADES
IATIONS OF INDIA

PHONE 28?

Registered Office
15 F St Mark s Road
BANGALORE

OFFICE OF THE HOME MINISTER
7131
30 JUN 1948

Ref No 2375/48 — 21st June 1948

FICE O
EF MINISTER
o 15776
22/6/48

2919

The Chief Minister
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Dear Sir,

At a Committee Meeting of this Association held on the 17th inst, the acute shortage of housing in Bangalore was considered

I am directed by my Committee to suggest for your consideration the following method of solving this urgent problem

1 That the House Rent Control Order be retained in its present form until such period as the housing position eases

2 That erection of more buildings by either Co-operative Societies or individuals be encouraged and that facilities be provided for the extension of existing buildings It is felt that if land lords are granted these facilities they would co-operate in making such additions to their buildings and thereby provide accommodation to a family or so extra

3 That it is noted that several Military buildings have been demolished or are awaiting demolition It is suggested that some measure be adopted whereby these buildings could be retained and the Municipal Commission could rent them out as dwelling places or accommodate some of the refugees who are now in need of houses

Whilst appreciating the great difficulties you must undoubtedly be faced in the solution of this problem, my Committee hopes that you will formulate some such scheme as outlined above so that the present extremely difficult housing problem is eased

Thanking you,

I remain, Dear Sir,
Yours faithfully,

[signature]
Honorary Secretary

BS

10 The ~~Committee~~ above Association has made certain suggestions for solving the housing problem. This may perhaps be referred to the Blore city improvement Trust Board

HPS
13/8/48

P3

Suggestions of the Bangalore Trades Association for solving the housing problem in Bangalore city

110) 5) For perusal
The Cabinet Sub Committee for Buildings is dealing with the accommodation problems of Govt only
The proposals put forward by Bangalore Trades Association is for dealing with the general housing shortage of the public
This may perhaps be referred to the Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board for necessary action

Chief Minister
Minister for Home

111) 6) [illegible]
24/8

[illegible]
14/8/48

HPS
14/8/48

THE HOME MINISTER
No 1873
Dt 24/8/48
OFF. ★

112) 7) The question is not so simple An all out effort must be made to build houses of cheaper type with the available materials

113) 8) War camps referred to are not available

[illegible]
24/8

OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF MINISTER
No 1279
Date 30 8 48
31

[illegible]
26/8/48
HPS
27/8/48

114) 9) may be referred to the City Improvement Board The Minister for Finance may please see this File
[illegible]
30/8

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR FINANCE & INDUSTRIES
No 1451
Dt 2.9.48

115) Min for Finance & Industry

[illegible]
1.9.48

HPS
1/9/48

[illegible]

Suggestions of the Bangalore Traders Association for solving the housing problem-

116) The B'lore City Tr Bd has no jurisdiction over the Civil Stn. It would be better to have one common Imp Trust Bd for both the parts of Bangalore. It may become necessary to take up a housing scheme in the Civil Stn as is taken up at Jayanagar.

145 [illegible]

Sd/- [illegible]
7/9

[illegible] 8/9/48
HPS 9/9/48

117) The file may now be referred to the Municipalities Branch for taking ~~such further~~ action on the minutes of the Ministers ~~as necessary~~

Secy PW)

HPS 21/9/48

[illegible] 20/9/48
[illegible] 20/9 [illegible]

Ml 2662

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
4 OCT 1948
MUNICIPAL BRANCH

118) Municipalities Branch) 13)
[illegible] 22 9 48
HPS 22/9/48

Relates to Civil Station
[illegible]

119) The civil Station and the B'lore city are going to be combined into a single Corporation in the near future after the Corporation Bill is passed when it might facilitate having a Common Improvement Trust Board for both the parts of B'lore

120) It is therefore for consideration whether this question may await the coming into existence of the proposed Corporation or immediate action should be

2662/ml

Suggestions of the B'lore Traders Association for solving the housing problem in Civil Station taken to extend the jurisdiction of the ~~Tru~~ B'lore City Improvement Trust Board over the Civil Station area also

[initials]
3/11/48

[initials] 4/11

121 ~~#6~~) As things stand at present, the question of Bangalore City Corporation Bill is likely to be promulgated into law ~~within~~ on or before the end of January 1949 & will be brought into force before 1 7 49. It would then be easy to extend the provisions of the B'lore City Improvement Trust Board Act ~~to the~~ area covered now by the Civil Station of Bangalore also. No action appears called for in this behalf at present.

122 ~~#7~~) In the meanwhile, the copy of the letter in question will be sent to the President ML Commission, Civil Station Bangalore for information; also to the chairman, C I Trust Bd.

123 ~~#8~~) Refce-para [illegible]. If approved action will be taken as proposed above in paras 16 & 17 ~~supra~~

[signature] for
6/11/48

(Minister for Home)

[signature] 12/11 [illegible]

4950
8/11

2662/mod.

124) ~~19~~ The question does not brook delay and need not wait till the Civil Station and the ~~Corporation~~ city are brought under a Corporation. By suitably amending the ~~a~~ Trust Board act, the scope could be enlarged to include the present Civil Station area and about 3 ~~m~~ or 5 miles around it. Call ~~for~~ T. B's views

4770
13 NOV 1948
[...] MINISTER. O-FFICE

13/11

XXX

125) ~~20~~ For immediate action

15/11

127) ~~21~~ If approved this will be transferred to Janab Maccai for taking further action

126) [illegible]

15/11/48

16/11/48

[illegible]

18/11/48

Extending the operation of the Trust Board Act

128) Submitted with a draft letter to the Chairman as above.
23/11

129) Issue at once
[signature]
24/11/48

~~No 2~~

To, The Chairman, Bangalore Trust Board
L 5651 d 25-11-48
~~No 9345/48-49 d 3-1-49~~
calls for the opinion of the Trust Board

Letter No 9345/48 d 3-1-49 from the Chairman, Bangalore Trust Board

130) Submitted with a note on the matter.-

The Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board desire to extend the provisions of the Bangalore

City Improvement Act, 1945
to the extent of 5 miles
from the city municipal
limits, to prevent the springing
up of unauthorised private layouts
round about Bangalore.

131) They have also passed
a resolution now to extend
the provisions of the said Act
to the civil station area,
Bangalore, in view of the
orders at para 124 supra

132) Now the point for consideration
is whether the ~~operation~~ provisions
of the Bangalore City Improvement
Act may be extended to the
extent of five miles or three
miles, including civil station
area, from the city municipal
limits of Bangalore

133) In this connection,
kind reference is invited
to the orders of the Home

Minister on another file - ~~...~~ an extract of which is put up and flagged V

flg B) 134) As seen from the report× received from the D.C. Bangalore Dist, ~~...~~ 24 Village panchayets out of 25 in Bangalore North Taluk and 21 Villages panchayets out of 45 in Bangalore South Taluk have agreed to ~~the~~ included ~~within the juris~~ within the jurisdiction of the Trust Board out of the remaining 24 Village panchayets in Bangalore South Taluk, 15 of them have agreed to surrender only the Revenue survey numbers and 9 have refused to come under the Trust Board. The Hindustan Aircraft ~~Factory~~ Sanitary Board have resolved not to accede to the proposal of the Board. All the above villages come within the jurisdiction, if the provisions of the Trust Board Act is extended to a five-mile belt

135) In case, only a three-mile belt is selected, a few of the villages will have to eventually be dropped out of the scheme.

136) Under the provisions of the Village Panchayat Act, the Govt. may also take action to prohibit the construction of buildings in villages, except with the permission of the D.C. concerned. A notification prohibiting "the construction of buildings" in certain villages round about Bangalore was also issued in the year 1937. Vide flag A.

137) In this connection, reference is also invited to the report of the Chairman dated 6-5-46 in flag J which it is stated that according to the opinion of the Board, the extension of the limits by a notification did not mean the immediate surrender of the authority of the

village panchayats or any other body and that it gives widely a right to the Board to formulate schemes beyond the municipal limits and to prohibit the formation of private layouts in this extended area. If this view is accepted, the Village Panchayats' ~~will~~ whether the powers to grant permission to erect buildings on the agricultural lands will or will not be curtailed, in case the Trust Board Act is extended to such villages, has to be considered. This will also apply to the civil station area where in the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore ~~has got the authority to~~ is the authority to grant such permission. The Law section ~~of~~ may perhaps be consulted before action is taken to extend the provision of the Bangalore City Improvement Act to a limit of ~~5 or three~~ five or three miles, as the case may

2662 √ 4989/ml Extending the provisions of the Bangalore City ~~Of~~ Improvement Act be, under Section 2(2) of the Bangalore City Improvement (Amended) Act, 1945 This is for orders

Ma 24/1, 138) There is provision under
Pr 25-1-49

Sec 1 (2) of the City of Blore Improvement Act (Amendment) of 1945 to extend the provisions of the Act to other areas adjoining the City as the Govt may from time to time by notify in the Off. Gazette & specify the areas. The effect of such a notification has been fully explained by the Chairman in his letter dated 6 5 1948. It is stated that ~~and~~ it would only ensure controlled development thereby preventing haphazard construction of buildings, while it does not take away the duties or responsibilities of ~~I the~~ the Municipalities, Sanitary Board the local bodies like the village Panchayet functioning there. It would also enable the

Trust Board to formulate schemes in the area as laid down in the Trust Board Act

139) The Revenue Commr. has recommended the extension of the operations of the Trust Board to the ~~extent~~ limit of five miles from the existing city M.L. boundaries & adjoining to it. This five-mile belt is said to cover an area of 177 sq miles

140) The Trust Board have also resolved on 26 11 1948 that it is necessary to extend the provisions of the Trust Board Act to the Civil Station area etc. But before giving effect to this proposal ~~the~~ to the M.L. Commission Civil Station areas, Blore has also perhaps to be consulted ~~as~~ as to their willingness to ~~be~~ brought into the ambit of the Blore City Improvement Act 1945 and the Act also requires to be amended ~~so~~ so as to include one or two members of that

A

re Extending the operations of the Bangalore City Improvement Act

Municipality in the Trust Board

Pending examination of this question,

it may perhaps be omitted from the

notification proposed to be issued in respect

of the five-mile belt. The opinion

of the Law Section on the point at

A above will also have to be obtained.

141) A notification under sec 1 (2) of

the City Improvement Act – as amended –

is also put up for approval or other orders.

142) In regard to the Civil Station area

the M C Commission will be consulted

and the question of amending the Act

also examined so as to give effect

to the proposal. A draft for the Res,

M C Commission, Civil Station, Bangalore

also put up. B As the D/S. care for appl

the suitability of the draft notn may also be got scrutinised in the

Law Section.

[illegible] Secy
28/1/49

142) This may be done
[illegible] 31/1/49

143) may be approved
[illegible] 31/1/49

144) may be approved
[illegible] 31/1/49

* Secy

145) Home Minister
through Law Secy)

[illegible] 31/1/49
Secy 31 1 49

SECRETARY LAW DEPT
685
- 1 FEB 1949

1/2
[illegible] 1/2

5687 law

General and Revenue Secretariat

1595/BL

File No Extending the City of Bangalore Improvement Act to areas near Bangalore City of 194

App F III 2

Serial No	
	146) A separate draft notification is put up for consideration
	2/2/49 147) Under section 1(2) the Government has power to extend the provisions of the Act to "areas adjoining the City of Bangalore". Perhaps within the five mile limit contemplated by the draft notification, there will be certain areas beyond the Civil Station Area, which do not adjoin the City limits because of the intervening Civil Station area. In view of the wording of section 1(2), it appears doubtful whether the Act can be extended to those areas without extending it to the Civil Station
	148 The Law Branch may be consulted on this aspect of the question. The draft notification put up by the Legis Branch is in order, subject to a decision on the question referred to above
	Mas 4 2 49 149) Law Branch
	(Law Br)
	150) As it is possible that there may be areas within the five-mile belt beyond the Civil Station (and not adjoining the City), such an area cannot be deemed to be one adjoining the City for purposes of the Notification extending the City of Bangalore Improve-

Legislation Branch 1/2/49

6856 5 FEB 1949 Law Department Govt. of Mysore

Note — Contn Sheet

1526/Bl 5680/Law

File No of 194 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

ent ct In that case, application to the Civil Station simultaneously appears to be necessary

Law Secy) 149

9/2

BBD 9/21

~~[illegible]~~) 150) Asst-Secy Legis-lative)

9/2

151) The file may be sent to the Administrative Sectt to take action in accordance with Law opinion

15-). 11/2

Law Secy) BBD 14/21

Min for Law) 15/2

Issue notification as amended (by the addn of the words "the Civil Station") Regarding extension of the Act to the Civil Station the Munpl Comm may be consulted as suggested in para 142

RTB 15/2

BBD 16/21 16/2

Municipal (Br)

7 5211 15/2

In Meeting 17/2/49

SECRETARIAT ... GOVT 803 9 FEB 1949

SECRETARIAT LAW DEPARTMENT GOVT OF MYSORE 11 FEB 1949

5 815/[illegible]

Letter No. J 414/48-49 of 27-1-49 from the Chairman Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board requesting sanction to the resolution of the Board to extend the operations of the Bangalore City Improvement Act to the belt of three miles from the City Municipal limits

154) The main file with the previous resolution of the Board to extend the provisions of the Trust Board Act to a five-mile belt has already been submitted for orders. If the return of the main file may not be awaited

[illegible]
16/2

With what I [illegible] [illegible]?
[illegible] 16 [illegible]

155) Submitted with reference to para 153 supra

156) The present resolution is to extend the provisions of the Blore City Improvement Trust Board Act upto a limit.

4989 √5815/...

Extending the provisions of the Bangalore City Improvement Act

of three miles as against five miles, originally proposed by the Board, from the municipal limits of Bangalore

157) In the draft notification already put up, it is proposed to extend the Trust Board jurisdiction to a five-mile belt from the municipal limits of the City as well as the Act to. Now the point for orders is whether ~~only~~ the provisions of the Act may not ~~only~~ be extended only upto a limit of three miles ~~only~~, as now proposed by the Board, and the draft revised accordingly — Ref para 153 } A

ha 19/2
Pr 18 2 49

158) A- may perhaps be done & the draft notn amended accordingly. The file may be subd to the Hon'ble Minister for Finance & Industries & the Hon'ble Minister for City Mutts & Improvement for appl.

Genl Secy [signature]
21/2/49

3443
11/3/49

THE MINISTER FOR CITY MUNICIPALITIES AND ...
No 3780
Date ~~2[illegible]~~

23/2

159) Minister for Finance & Industries [initials] 20/2/49

[signature] 24/2/49

160) [signature] 10/3

161) [initials] 15/3

Minister for City Municipalities [initials] 16/3

22/16/3

The two drafts may issue at once

17/3/49

To,
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette
No. L 10538 d 18/3/49
Issues a notification in
accordingly

L 10539-44 d 18-3-49
Copy to 1) The D C Bangalore
2) The Ml Commr, Banglr
3) The Chairman, S T B
4) The R C

To,
The President, Municipal
Commissioners, Bangalore
L 10545 d 18/3/49
calls for the opinion of
the Ml Commissioners in
the matter

Serial No

Letter No A1-382/49 d 7/5/49 from the President, Municipal Commission, Civil Station, Bangalore

162) Submitted

fg V — The provisions of the Bangalore City Improvement Act have been extended to all areas within a distance of three miles from the city municipal limits & the civil station of Bangalore, excepting the area included within the municipal limits of the civil station.

163) The opinion of the Municipal Commission, Civil Station, was however called for on the question of extending the provisions of the Trust Board Act to the civil station area. As seen from the report now received from the President, the Commission have resolved to defer the question for so long as the civil station Municipal Commission continues to

re extending the provisions of the Bangalore Trust Board Act to civil station area

5415 / 18618/mt

File No ... of 194

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

be a separate municipal body

This is submitted for perusal and orders with reference to para 153 (160) supra

[illegible]
28/5
28-5-49

[illegible] 27/5

Stamp: SECRETARY TO GOVT OF MYSORE ... No ... Date 3/6 ... GENERAL AND REVENUE ...

THE MINISTER FOR CITY MUNICIPALITIES AND LOCAL BOARDS
No 5471
Date 3-6-1949

2/1/6/49

Minister City Municipalities [illegible] for approval

The Select Committee report of the Bangalore City Municipal Corporation Bill is going to be placed before the session of the L C which commences on the 20th of this month and the Bill is likely to be passed during the session, the question of including the Civil Station area under the Bangalore City Improvement act may lie over. [illegible]
13/6/49

2/13/6

Stamp: SECRETARY TO GOVT ... Recd. 13/6 ...

If approved, this will be kept in 'Sus' for the present

[illegible]
16/6
16-6-49

[illegible]

A — yes please
[illegible]

Sus till the Corporation Bill is passed [illegible]
24/6

(59)

Acqn of Land near Meanee Lines Civil Station for R I E Centre

The main file is sent for O n of H H's Orders after being issued The Municipal File 8618/M L and Collection V F 39-35 (Al 1-10) may now be returned to the Municipality Branch

[illegible] 5/1/50 Asst Secy Hl Br [illegible]

[illegible] 5/1/50

[illegible] 5 1 50

Mr. [illegible]

[illegible] 11/1/50

The provisions of the Bangalore City Improvement Act has since been extended to the civil station area also (separately). The main file re this has been submitted in certain other connection. If approved, this will be received now.

B [illegible]

Ma 27/12

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] 2/1/50

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT
1956
9 SEP 1946
L S G BRANCH

Office of the City Improvement Trust Board,
"Ranga Vilas", Seshadri Road, Bangalore,
Dated, the 4th Septr. 1946.

No. 667/46

From

C.N. NARASINGA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Chairman,
City Improvement Trust Board,
Bangalore.

To

J.B. Mallaradhya, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to invite reference to the following resolution approved unanimously by the Board at its meeting held on the 28th August 1946 re the issue of a notification extending the limit of the Board's operations to the extent of 5 miles from the City Municipal boundaries and to request that early orders of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter and communicated

> "RESOLVED that under Sub Sec 2 of Sec 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, the operation of the Act be extended by a notification by Government in this behalf in the official Gazette, to a limit of 5 miles from the existing City Municipal boundaries and adjoining to it and that the Government be requested to take suitable action in this behalf "

2 This resolution has been necessitated by the fact that several unauthorized private layouts without any sense of co-ordination with either Municipal or Trust Boa Schemes, are springing up all round the Municipal limits They are a menace to any planned development of the City. The Trust Board also proposes in the near future to take up schemes in the area within the 5 mile limit The inclusion

of this area will be bringing into operation Sec 25 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act of 1945 and will help to stop the unauthorized layouts.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C N Narayanaswamy

gvm/-

CHAIRMAN

L4983/E
d/ 12 10 46

To

The Revenue Comm

Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No 667 dated 4-9-46, received from the Chairman, C & T - Board, Blore re extending the limits of the Boards operations to the extent of five miles from the city Municipal boundaries and to request that your opinion in the matter may be kindly furnished early, together with the following information -

1) the number and names of the

Villages that come within the five mile area referred to above

2) whether ~~there are~~ village panchayats concerned are agreeable to the proposal

I have the honour

[illegible] 1/x/48

[illegible] Secy

Immediate 19/6/46

No. L 5952 d/ 13-11-46

Sub:- Extending the operations of the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore, to a limit of five miles from the existing City Municipal boundaries

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No. L4933 dated 12-6-46, on the above subject and to request ~~you to~~ that the particulars referred to therein may be kindly furnished to Government.

immediately

I am also request that the particulars called for in this office letter No L 6672 d 12-1-46, ~~may~~ on the subject may also be kindly expedited

Yours sincerely

[signature]
13/11/46

S. Narayana Rao Esq, M.A
Revenue Commissioner
Mysore, B'lore

L 5953 d/ 13 11.46
memorandum
copy forwarded to the Chairman, Trust Board, Mercara for information

[signature]
13/11/46
Financial Secretary

Mr. G. Ramachandra Rao, B.A.,
Asst Secretary to Govt
(L.S.G. Department)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore, 13th Novr- 1946

D O No A 5952

Dear Sir,

Subject:- Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore to a limit of five miles, from the existing Municipal boundaries

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No.L. 4933 dated 12-10-1946 on the above subject and to request that the particulars referred to therein may be kindly furnished to Government immediately.

I am also to request that the particulars called for in this office letter No.L.6672 dated 1_-1-1946, on the subject may ~~also~~ be kindly expedited.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/

S. Narayana Rao Esq., M.A., (Hons).,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Memo No.L. 5953 D/ 13 11 46

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Chairman, Trust Board, Bangalore City for information.

By order,

For Revenue Secretary
to Government.

D O.No.A5-1301/46-47

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE, DATED 14TH NOVEMBER 1946

G & REV SECRETARIAT
18 NOV 1946
3747
BRANCH

Subject - Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore, to a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries

=====

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O letter No L 5952 dated 13th November 1946 on the above subject, I am desired to state that the report called for from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in the matter has not been received so far He has been reminded to-day On receipt of the report from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, a further communication will b made to Government

Yours sincerely,

To

G Ramachandra Rao Esq , B A ,
Assistant Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Secretariat,
Bangalore

SR

No L 8339 d 4-12-46

Immediate

Sub:- Extending the operation of the City Imp. Trust Board, Bangalore to a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal boundaries

Dear Sir,

In inviting reference to your letter No AS 1301/46-47 dated 16-11-46, I am desired to request that the particulars called for in this Office letter No L 4933 d 12-10-46 may be kindly furnished immediately, as

The question has been pending since [illegible]
and has to be disposed of
expeditiously

Y. Sincerely
[illegible]
14/12/44

To
Narayana Rao Esq M A
(Revenue Vizag)

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No F 5243/L F 212-46-2 *Dated Bangalore,* 27-11-1946

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that Government desire that urgent actic should be taken to prevent the springing up of unauthorised and planle private lay-outs and buildings in the five mile Zone from the Bangalore City Municipal limits, and to request that the Deputy Commissioner, Ba lore District, may be intimated not to permit conversion of Agricult land for non-agricultural purposes within a radius of five miles fr City Municipal limits without consulting the City Improvement Trust pending the issue of a Notification in the matter as recommended b Board

I have the honour to
Sir,
Your most obedient

Sd J L Mallaradh

Secretary to Governme
Revenue Departmen

Memo No F 5244 dated 27-11-1946

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, for necessary action

By Order of the

Sd K Balasubraman

For Secretary to Governme
Revenue Departmen

"Copy

Balasubramanya 13/11/46
For Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

P.T.O.

has been taken by you in the matter.

I am to also to enquire whether any ~~request that information on~~ ~~regard the~~ conversion of Agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes in the [said area] Bangalore City, has been sanctioned by you during the last three months, and if so, details ~~thereof~~ thereof, may be kindly furnished to Govt. within a week.

I have

[signature] 3/1/47

For Rev. Secy.

Copy to [illegible] for information

[signature] 3/1/47

Copy to L. R. Branch

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat,

L9442 - 374 *Dated Bangalore* 6th January 1947

Extra copy

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore

Sir,

In inviting reference to this office memo No P 5244 dated 27th November 1946 directing that no permission for the conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes, within a radius of five miles from the Bangalore City Municipal limits should be granted, without consulting the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore I am directed to enquire whether the above order has been received by you and if so, when and what action has been taken by you in the matter. I am also to enquire whether any conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes in the said area around Bangalore City has been sanctioned by you during the last three months and if so, details thereof, may be kindly furnished to Government, within a week.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd J [illegible],
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

Memo No L9443 6-1-47

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments to the [illegible] Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, for information.

By Order,
[illegible signature]
for Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

Extra Copy

T.S.P. L 10749
31/1/47

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No L 9442 d 6-1-47 and the subsequent reminder No L 10482 d 24-1-47 calling for certain information re the conversion of agricultural lands for non agricultural purposes within a radius of five miles from the Bangalore City Municipal limits and to request you to submit the information called for within three days.

Your personal attention may kindly be bestowed in the matter and the information sent within the time specified.

Yours

To, The D.C. Bangalore

P.T.O.

(Signature) 28/1/47

II opt – Urgent

L 10750
31/1/47

Dear Sir,

I am desired to request you to furnish your immediately your opinion called for in this office letter no L 4933 d/ 12-10-46 and in subsequent reminder no 8339 d/ 4/12/46 on the proposal of the Chairman, C I T. Board Bangalore re issuing of a notification extending the limit of the Board's operations to the extent of five miles from the city municipal limits, Bangalore.

Yours f
[illegible]

To,
The Rev Commr (by name)

62 of

R3-3220/47-47

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, the 29th January 1947.

To

J B MALLARADHYA, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to Government,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE

SIR,

Subject: Sanction of conversion of Agricultural lands for non-agricultural purposes within a radius of five miles from Bangalore City during the last three months

With reference to your letter No 9442 dated 6th January 1947, on the above subject, I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your memo No R 5244 dated 27th November 1946 Needful instructions have been issued to the Sub division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division

In this connection, I may state that no conversion is sanctioned without consulting the City Improvement Trust Board in respect of lands which are situated within a distance of five miles from the City limits

There were in all 3 cases of conversion within the past three months In one case out of S No 17 of Dyavasandra village, Kasaba Hobli, Bangalore North conversion has been sanctioned by me on 14-11-46 as the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board in his communication No 922 dated 30th September 1946 recommended the conversion as resolved by the Board limiting the extent to 50' x 30' out of 30 guntas applied for This land is reported to be at a distance of one mile from the City limits

In respect of the two other conversions sanctioned in Dookanahalli and Nagasandra villages which are in the limits of C & M Station, the City Improvement Trust Board is not consulted as they are out side the jurisdiction of the Board

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT
(absent from Station)

YSR/-

L 405-8
8/2/47

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No the L 4933 of 12-10-46 and two subsequent reminders No L 8339 of 4/12/46 and L 10750 of 31/1/47 re issuing a notification extending the limit of the ~~city improvement to~~ operation of the C I Trust Board Bangalore to the extent of five miles from the Bangalore city municipal limits & to request you to intimate immediately when a reply may be expected to be received in the matter.

Yours sf

To, the Rev Commr (by name)

To the Chairman, City Improvement Trust [illegible]

8654
21 APR 1947
L.S.G. BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, dated the 14th April 47

A5 1301 of 46-47

Enclose

15 APR 1947

H N PALLEGAR, Esq , M A , LL B ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore, to a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal limits.

OF SECRETARY ... GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
16/4

With reference to your No L 1105 dated the 8th February 1947 on the above subject, I have the honour to enclose herewith a copy* of an interim reply received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore stating in his letter dated the 8th April 1947 that the submission of a final report takes a little time as all the Village Panchayets have to be consulted in this behalf Owing to the urgency and the importance of the subject, I have however requested the Deputy Commissioner to submit the final report without further delay

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Narayanan

REVENUE COMMISSIONER

*Overleaf)

YBR 2

Enclosure

Adverting to your D O letter No A51301 of 46-47, dated the 24th March 1947, on the above subject, I have the honour to state that as all the Village Panchayets in the five mile limit have to be consulted, it takes a little time to submit final report The Sub Division Officer, Bangalore, has, however, been requested to expedite his report in the matter.

2258/m/
28 OC 1947
L S G BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No. A5.1301/46-47. Records.

Dated, Bangalore, 21st 25 October '47.

Syed Abdul Aleem, Esq., B A.,
Revenue Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject.- Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board - Bangalore - To a limit of 5 miles from the existing Municipal Limits -

With reference to your letter No L 4933 dated 12th October 1946 and in continuation of my letter of even number dated 15-4-1947 on the - - above subject, I have the honour to enclose herewith a copy of the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No M7.C.2148/46-47 dated 3-10-1947 - - - together with the connected records received in this behalf.

2. It is seen therefrom that 24 Village Panchayets out of 25 in Bangalore North Taluk and 21 Village Panchayets out of 45 in Bangalore - - South Taluk have agreed to be included within the jurisdiction of the Bangalore Improvement Trust Board Out of the remaining 24 Village Panchayets in Bangalore South Taluk, 15 Village Panchayets have agreed to surrender only Revenue Survey Numbers and 9 Village Panchayets have not agreed to the proposal of the Board on the ground that they are beyond 5 miles of the Board As regards villages coming within the Hindustan Air Craft Sanitary Board Area, the Board have resolved at

P.T.O

at their meeting held on 26-6-1947 (an extract of which is enclosed in the records) expressing their unwillingness to accede to the proposal of the Improvement Trust Board However they have agreed to consult the Chairman of the City Improvement Trust Board whenever large Schemes of extensions are undertaken by them.

3 The enclosed statement in the - - - connected records give the necessary particulars required in this behalf

4. In these circumstances, action may be taken to extend the limits of the Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board's Operations to the - - extent of five miles from the City Municipal Boundaries as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Narayanaswamy

Revenue Commissioner

Letter No M.7.C.2148/46-47 dated 3rd October 1947 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore - - - District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

-

Subject - Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board - Bangalore - To a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries -

-

With reference to your letter No A5.1301/46-47 dated 24-3-1947 and in continuation of this Office letter of even number dated 10th April 1947 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that out of 70 villages relating to Bangalore - - North and South Taluks, all the 24 out of 25 - - - village panchayets of Bangalore North Taluk have resolved agreeing to be included under the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board while 9 out of 45 village panchayets of Bangalore South - - Taluk have not agreed to the proposal of the Board, on the ground that they are beyond 5 miles radius. The Amildar, Bangalore South Taluk, reports that the names of those village panchayets were included in the list calculating the distance of 5 miles -- from the Toll Gates of the Municipality which themselves are 3 to 4 miles from the heart of the City. Besides there are about 15 village panchayets which have resolved to surrender only the revenue survey numbers of their village panchayets (not the Gramathana) to be included under the Trust Board Management.

As regards villages coming under the Sanitary Board Area, it is reported by the President that -- the Board is not in favour of the proposal of the Trust Board and that they are unwilling to accede.

P.T O.

A copy of the letter received from the President is herein enclosed for reference.

The enclosed statement gives in detail the other particulars called for in this behalf.

The entire file of records relating to the case together with the Village Panchayet Resolutions accompanies.

The limit of the City Improvement Trust Board Operations may be extended to 5 miles from the City Limits.

-

"Copy"

For Revenue Commissioner.

No. 167/47-8

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
City Improvement Trust Board,
Dated, Bangalore, the 14th November 1947

TO

SYED ABDUL ALLEEM, Esq, B A,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
BANGALORE

Sir,

I have the honour to invite kind reference to this Office letter No 667/46 dated 5-9-1946 forwarding the undermentioned extract*from the Resolution of the Board passed at its meeting held on 28th August 1946 regarding the issue of a Notification extending the limits of the Board's operations to the extent of 5 miles from the City Municipal limits and requesting early orders of Government in the matter and to your letter No R 5423/L R 212-46-2 dated 27-11-46 addressed to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, (copy sent to this Office for information) requesting him to issue instructions to the Deputy - Commissioner, Bangalore District, not to permit conversion of - agricultural lands for non-agricultural purposes within a radius of 5 miles from the City Municipal Limits without consulting the City Improvement Trust Board, pending the issue of a Notification in the matter as recommended by the Board

---

* "Resolved that under Sub Section 2 of Section 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, the operation of the Act be extended by a Notification by Government in this behalf in the Official Gazette, to a limit of 5 miles from the existing City Municipal boundaries and adjoining to it and that Government be requested to take suitable action in this behalf "

As no orders have yet been passed in the matter and as the Board is urging me to remind Government to the previous resolution in this behalf, I request that you will be so good as to - obtain very early orders of Government in the matter

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C N Narayana Rao
CHAIRMAN.

HR/Mss

Two copies

To,

L 15915/N 19-4-48.

The Chairman, C I T Board,
Bangalore

Subject :- Extending the limits of the Trust Board's operation to the extent of five miles from the City Municipal limits)

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No I 167/47-8 d 14-11-47 on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith a copy ~~each~~ of letter No AS 1301/46 d 25-10-47 ~~d 25-10-47 and letters~~ received from the Rev Commr and a copy of letter No MJ C 2148/46-47 d 3-10-47 of the D C Bangalore Dist ~~referred~~ referred to therein and to state that, as seen from these letters, 24 Village panchayets out of 25 in Bangalore North Taluk and 21 Villages panchayets out of 45 in Bangalore South Taluk have agreed to be included within the jurisdiction of the Trust Board out of the remaining 24 Village panchayets in Bangalore South

Taluk, 15 village panchayets have agre[redacted] to surrender only the Revenue survey numbers and 9 village panchayets have not agreed to the proposal on the ground that they are beyond 5 miles of the board. As regards villages coming within the Hindustan Aircraft sanitary board area, the board has resolved not to accede to the proposal of the board.

~~In the above circumstances,~~

I am to request you to obtain and forward the ~~opinion~~ remarks of the Trust board on the ~~resolution~~ ~~different~~ differing resolutions of the village Panchayats & other bodies, as also ~~of the village panchayets who have agreed to surrender any of the Revenue survey numbers of the village and those of the village panchayets who have not agreed to the proposal in question together with your remarks thereon to~~ furnish information ~~on the points~~ as to what the total area involved in the proposal is and whether the Trust board is at present in a position to undertake improvement schemes in respect of this area, which would appear to be quite large in addition to its present activities.

Records received from the D C Bangalore Dist are sent herewith for perusal & return with the reply.

I have etc

Sd/- 12/4/60

for Rev Secy

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No L 1591 57/L

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 19-4-1948

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department.

To

The Chairman,
City Improvement Trust Board,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject - Extending the limits of the Trust Board's operations to the extent of five miles from the City Municipal Limits.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. G 167/47-8 dated 14th November 1947 on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. A5.1301/47 dated 25-10-47 received from the Revenue Commissioner and a copy of letter No. M7.C.2148/46-47 dated 3rd October 1947 of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, referred to therein and to state that, as seen from these letters, 24 village panchayets out of 25 in Bangalore North Taluk and 21 Village Panchayets out of 45 in Bangalore South Taluk have agreed to be included within the jurisdiction of the Trust Board. Out of the remaining 24 Village Panchayets in Bangalore South Taluk, 15 Village Panchayets have agreed to surrender only the Revenue Survey numbers and 9 Village Panchayets have not agreed to the proposal on the ground that they are beyond 5 miles of the Board. As regards Villages coming within the Hindustan Aircraft Sanitary Board area, the Board has resolved not to accede to the proposal of the Board.

I am to request you to obtain and forward the remarks of the Trust Board on these differing resolutions of the Village Panchayets and other bodies, as also furnish information as to what the total area involved in the proposal is and whether the Trust Board is at present in a position to undertake improvement schemes in respect of this area,

which....

which would appear to be quite a large one in addition to its present activities.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

16/4/48

Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

No. 404 / LW — 8
Board resolution — copy of —
Two files of North & South Tqs

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
City Improvement Trust Board,
Dated, Bangalore, the 6th May 1948.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
6798
11 MAY 1948
MUNICIPAL BRANCH

TO

SYED ABDUL ALEEM, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

8/5/48

Sir,

Subject - Extending the limits of Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board's operations to the extent of 5 miles from the City Municipal limits.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No L.15915 dated 19-4-48 forwarding for remarks of the Trust Board, copies of letters received from the Revenue Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, communicating the resolutions of the several village panchayets on the subject, I have the honour to state that the letters and the resolutions of the village panchayets referred to above were placed before the Board at its special meeting held on 20-4-48 The members of the Board felt that the Revenue Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner had not correctly appreciated the position or the implications of extending the limits of the Trust Board's operations In their opinion the extension of the limits by a Notification did not mean the immediate surrender of the authority of the village panchayets or any other body or the surrender of any buildings or revenue lands or otherwise It merely gave a right to the Board to formulate Schemes beyond the Municipal limits and within the area of 5 miles from the City Municipal limits It also meant that the prohibition of private lay-outs in this extended area will

P.T O

will come into effect automatically and the villages in this belt could not extend just as they liked but would have to come under the scrutiny of the Board. It was also the opinion of some of the members that the extension of the limits of operation of the Trust Board meant only controlled development of the area without giving room for haphazard development as was happening now According to the provisions of the Trust Board Act the extension of the limits by a Notification, would give the Board the right to formulate the schemes in the area while it could not interfere in any manner with the working of other authorities and agencies in this area In short, it would put the Board in the extended area out-side Municipal limits in the same position it occupied now with reference to the Municipal limits While for instance the Municipality was free to work in the Municipal area despite the existence of the Trust Board, other Agencies could work in the same manner in the extended area This would ensure controlled development of villages near Bangalore where lands were already getting into the hands of the speculators It was agreed in the end that the above views may be explained to Government and they be informed that the extension did not mean the taking over any serious duties and obligations by the Trust Board but that to the extent that was possible, it would enable the Board to formulate their important Schemes in the area within the four corners of the Trust Board Act.

> Finally it was resolved - "That the views of the Board be communicated to Government and the position clarified."

I herein enclose for favour of reference an extract from the Proceedings of the Spl Meeting of the Board held on 20-4-48 The enclosures received with your letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
C N Narasimha [signature]
Chairman

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD HELD AT 5 P M ON 20-4-1948

"E X T R A C T"

xx xx xx

SUBJECT NO 3 -

Letter No.L 15915 dated 19-4-48 from the Revenue Secretary to Government re - extending the limits of the Trust Board's operations to the extent of 5 miles from - the City Municipal limits.

========

The Chairman read the letter from Government and - explained the history of the correspondence.

Dr Koenigsberger speaking said that it seemed to him that the Revenue Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner had not correctly appreciated the position or the implications of extending the limits of the Trust Board's operations In his opinion, the extension of the limits by a notification did not mean the imme- diate surrender of the authority of the Village Panchayat or any other body or the surrender of any buildings of Revenue lands or otherwise It merely gave the right to the Board to formulate - schemes beyond the Municipal limits and within an area of 5 miles from Municipal limits It meant that the prohibition of private - layouts in this extended area would come into effect automatically and villages in this belt could not extend just as they liked, but would have to come under the scrutiny of the Board He also stated that the Civil Station had been left out in a previous re- solution of the Board in this regard and the same could be adhered to

Rajasevasakta Dr Parthasarathy, speaking stated that - the extension of the limits of operation of the Trust Board would mean controlled development of the area and not in a haphazard way which was happening now It was in his opinion very necessary. He also cited the case of the area of the Hindustan Aircraft Sanitary Board where the Panchayets were still functioning

Mr D R Puttanna expressed the view that it seemed to him that this extension would needlessly hamper the villages in - their normal development while it would also increase the activities of the Board.

Mr Gundenahalli Nanjappa and Mr Singam Rangaswamappa felt that such an extension of limits was necessary.

The Chairman observed that the members who had spoken had already made matters clear He stated that according to the Act, as Dr Koenigsberger said, the extension of the limits by a - notification would give the Board the right to formulate schemes in the area, while it would not interfere in any manner with the work- ing of other authorities and Agencies in this area In short, it would put the Board in the extended area outside Municipal limits in the same position it occupied now with reference to the Mun limits.

While the Municipality was free to work in the Municipal area deppite the existence of the Trust Board, other Agencies could work in the same manner in the extended area. This ensured controlled - development of villages near Bangalore where lands were already - getting into the hands of land speculators.

He agreed with Dr.Koenigsberger that this may be explained to Government and that the extension did not mean the taking over of any serious duties and obligations by the Trust Board but that to the extent that was possible, it would enable the Board to formulate their Improvement Schemes in the area within the four corners of the Trust Board Act.

Finally it was resolved "THAT the views of the Board be

communicated to Government and the position clarified "

xx xx xx

Sd/-C.N NARASINGA RAO,

CHAIRMAN.

"C O P Y"

CHAI[redacted]
City Improvement [redacted] Board,
Bangalor[redacted]

MSS.

To,   L 17130

The Chairman, C [illegible],

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. G 404/47 d 6/5/48 re the extension of the limits of Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board's operations to the extent of five miles from the municipal limits, I am directed to request you to intimate what the total area involved would be in [redacted] this respect,

I have etc

Yours

[illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
1 JUL 1948
16
OFFICE OF MUNICIPAL BRANCH

G. 467/47

Chairman,
City Improvement Trust Board
BANGALORE
Dated 21st June 1948
22nd

--MEMO

To

JANAB SYED ABDUL ALEEM, B A ,
Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
BANGALORE

SIR,

Sub - Extending the limits of the Trust Board operations to an extent of 5 miles from the City Municipal limits

---

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No L 17130 dated 26-5-48 asking for information as to the total area involved in respect of the above, I have the honour to state that the Engineer Officer reports that the total area involved is roughly 177 Sq miles But, as has been stated in my letter No G 404/47-48 dated 6-5-48, the resolution enables the Board to take up schemes in the area in question within the limits prescribed by the Trust Board Act

[redacted] honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C N Narasingdas
CHAIRMAN

gvm/-

L 5651
25/11/48

To,
The Chairman, C I T
Board, Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to state that Govt. consider it desirable to extend the provisions of the B'lore Improvement Trust Board Act to the civil & military station area, Bangalore with a view to solving the housing problem and carrying out other improvement schemes there. I am to [illegible] that [illegible] the opinion of the Board may kindly be furnished in the matter, immediately.

Yours faithfully
[signature]
24/11/48
J Rev Secy

G345/48-9

OFFICE OF THE CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
"Ranga Vilas", Seshadri Road,
Dated, Bangalore, the 3rd January 1948

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
4989
0 JAN 1949
MUNICIPAL BRANCH

To

The Secretary to Government,
General Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

Re Extending the provisions of the Trust Board Act to the Civil Station area, Bangalore

---

With reference to the Revenue Secretary's letter No L 5651 dated 25-11-1948 asking for the opinion of the Board on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the subject was placed before the Board for consideration at its special meeting held on 26-11-1948 and after detailed discussion, the Board passed the following resolution

> "That the Board was of opinion that it was desirable and necessary to extend the provision of the Trust Board Act to the Civil Station area also and that Government be replied to accordingly "

P T O

KRR/-2-

I request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained sanctioning the resolution of the Board

Yours faithfully,

C.N. Narasinga Rao.

CHAIRMAN

Mh. 194-48-1 5815/ml

G 414/48-9
Evone

OFFICE OF THE CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
"Sumgra Vilas", Seshadri Road,
Dated, Bangalore, the 27th January 1949

To

The Secretary to Government,
General Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

SUBJECT - Proposals to publish notification in the Gazette extending the limits of jurisdiction of the Trust Board to cover the areas where the Trust Board is operating

------

I have the honour to state that at the meeting of the Board held on 26-11-1948, proposals to request Government to publish a notification in the Gazette extending the limits of the jurisdiction of the Trust Board to cover the areas where the Trust Board was operating, was considered an urgent necessity in view of the fact that several schemes of extensions round about Bangalore were being taken up by the Board, that large scale building construction by private people will be taken up and that the Board should arm itself legally with powers of enforcing the rules as to buildings that may come up

2 Under Section 23 as amended, of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, the Trust Board will have all the powers of the Municipal Council in areas to which the operation of the Trust Board is extended This would also bring into operation the provisions of the Trust Board Act in these areas

3 With this end in view and with a view to bring under technical and legal jurisdiction, the areas of the layout undertaken by the Board so that the Building Byelaws may be enforced, it was considered absolutely necessary to request Government to publish a notification in the Gazette extending the limits of the jurisdiction of the Trust Board to cover the areas where the Trust Board was operating

4 I may add that it has come to our notice that agricultural lands round about the City are being hastily made into sites and

are being sold. I have requested the Revenue authorities to take action. In the meantime, this notification is urgently necessary to give us the necessary jurisdiction.

5 The Board passed a resolution on 26-8-1946 "That under Sub section 2 of Section 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, the operations of the Act be extended by a notification by Government in this behalf in the official Gazette to a limit of 5 miles from the existing Municipal boundaries and adjoining to it."

6 This is pending with Government and no orders are yet passed, and it is understood from Revenue Secretary's letter No L 15915/R dated 19-1-1948 that the matter is under correspondence with the Revenue authorities.

7 In the meantime, for reasons stated above, the matter has become urgent. All the extensions under formation by the Trust Board are well within limit of 3 miles from the Municipal boundary and a notification under Section 2 and 23 is necessary.

8 After considering the question in all details, the Board unanimously passed the following resolution -

> "That the proposals in the note of the Chairman be approved and further that Government be requested to issue a notification under Section 2 and 23(a) of the Trust Board extending the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, to the areas of the Industrial Suburb and Jayanagar Extension and up to a limit of 3 miles from the present Municipal limits of Bangalore City."

It was also resolved

> "That Government be urged to take speedy steps in this behalf."

9 I accordingly request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained sanctioning the resolution of the Board.

10 A copy of the note placed before the Board in this behalf is enclosed for favour of reference.

Yours faithfully,
C.N. Narasimha Rao
Chairman

OFFICE OF THE CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
"Ranga Vilas", Seshadri Road,
Dated, Bangalore, the 11th November 1948.

SUBJECT:- Proposals to request Government to publish notifications in the Gazette, extending the limits of the jurisdiction of the Trust Board to cover the areas where the Trust Board is operating.

The Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board has now vested in it the Industrial Suburb area, the Jayanagar Extension "B" Block, which are outside the city Municipal limits. It is also proposing to take up schemes of extensions round about Gavipur and Yeswantapur.

Soon large scale building constructions by private people in the areas will be taken up.

The Municipal laws will not apply to these areas outside Municipal limits. Under Section 23 as amended, of the City of Bangalore Improvement Trust Board Act, the Trust Board will have all the powers of the Municipal Council, in areas to which the operation of the Trust Board is extended. This will also bring into operation the provisions of the Trust Board Act in these areas.

With this object in view, and with a view to have a regulated development round about Bangalore, the Trust Board passed on 28-8-48 a resolution that its operations may be extended by Government up to a limit of 5 miles from the present Municipal limits. But no orders are yet passed.

Whatever may be the final orders regarding the extensions of limits, it is imperative that the areas of the schemes as mentioned above, should be immediately brought under the technical and legal jurisdiction of the Trust Board in order that building bye-laws may be enforced.

I request that the Board do pass a resolution immediately to this effect and the proposal sent to Government for immediate sanction.

CHAIRMAN.

KRR/-7-

~~25 copies D 8/V 105-38~~ ML 194-48-2

~~Notification~~ 19/3/49

In exercise of the powers Conferred by Sub section (2) of Section 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act 1945, as amended ~~from time to time,~~ and under Sec 23 A ~~and under Sec 23 A~~ the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to extend the provisions of the said Act to the areas specified hereunder namely:-

All areas within a distance of (five) three miles from the municipal ~~of~~ limits of the City of Bangalore ~~+ the Civil Station~~ excepting the ~~Civil Station~~ ~~Bangalore~~ area included within the municipal limits of the Civil Station, Bangalore

B O

Secy to Govt
for ~~———~~ Genl Dept
20/2/49

Jag
mas 2/2/49
4 2 49

To
The Compiler Mysore Gazette for publication in the Gazette part III + IV & supply of 25 copies to the Chairman C I T B Blore, the D C Blore Dist (Chairman of Rural); Pl Commr Blore city (thro the President) ~~[illegible]~~ President Ml Commission, Blore civil station

Memo no Ml 105-39-44 dt 18-3-49

Copy fwd to the (1) Chairman, C I T B Blore with reference to his letter no G 414/48-49 dt 27/1/49

(2) D C of Blore Dist (without enclosure) 4/37 Pl Commr Blore city thro the President & the President, Com Blore civil station D for [illegible]

[illegible] V.P.I

L 10645 / II Oft
copies
To, 18/3/49. MP 194-48-3.

R S App F G 3
GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT
(Continuation sheet draft form)

The President,

Municipal Commission,

Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to state that Govt. ~~have considered~~ consider it desirable to extend the provisions of the City Improvement Act, 1945 — a copy of which is sent enclosed herewith — to the Civil Station area, Bangalore, with a view to ~~extend the~~ benefit of the housing ~~& other improvement~~ schemes ~~facilities, [illegible]~~ of other improvement schemes to be undertaken by the Trust Board to the ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ residents of the area, ~~[illegible]~~

WD 6868—GPP—5,000—9 8 47

~~to those of the other part of Bangalore, having regard to the acute housing problem in the entire country.~~

The City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore who was consulted in the matter, have agreed to the provisions of the Act being extended to the Civil Station also.

I am therefore to request you to place the above proposal before the Municipal Commission Bangalore & furnish their considered opinion at an early date.

Yours faithfully

31/1/49

for Secretary

29.1.49

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

L 105-45-|ке 194-48-3 General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 18/3/ 1949

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General Department.

To

The President,
Municipal Commission, Civil Station.
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that Government consider it desirable to extend the provisions of the Bangalore City Improvement Act, 1945--a copy of which is sent enclosed herewith--to the Civil Station area, Bangalore, with a view to extend the benefit of the housing and other improvement schemes undertaken by the Trust Board to the residents of the area. The City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore, who were consulted in the matter have agreed to the provisions of the Act being extended to the Civil Station also.

I am therefore to request you to place the above proposal before the Municipal Commission, Bangalore, and furnish their considered opinion at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

[signature]

For Secretary to Government,
General Department.

No.A.1-382/49.

Enc. 1 copy of M C's Resolution

Office of the Municipal Commission,
Civil Station, Bangalore,
dated the 7th May 1949

From

The President, Municipal Commission,
Civil Station, Bangalore

8618
12

To

The Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, B A N G A L O R E

SECRETARY TO GOVT OF MYSORE GENERAL DEPARTMENT
No.
Date 9/5

Sir,

Subject - Application of the Bangalore City Improvement Act, 1945 to the Civil Station.

Reference - Your letter No.L 10545/Ml 194-48-3, dated the 18th March 1949

As desired in para 2 of the above letter, the proposal of the Government to extend the provisions of the Bangalore City Improvement Act to the Civil Station, was placed before the meeting of the Municipal Commission held on the 28th April 1949 After a short discussion, the Commission resolved that the proposal in question be deferred for so long as the Civil Station Municipal Commission continues to be a separate Municipal body - vide copy of the resolution enclosed.

Yours faithfully,

[signature] 5/5

President, M C

MVL.
5-5-49.

Municipal Commission's resolution dated the 28th April 1949.
(Ordinary Meeting).

-----

2 Letter dated the 18th March 1949, from the General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, proposing to extend the provisions of the Bangalore City Improvement Act, 1945, to the Civil Station area and asking the opinion of the Municipal Commission in the matter

Recommended to be deferred.

The Vice-President read theletter from the Government and said that the recommendation of the Standing Committee was to defer the matter. The view taken by the Standing Committee was that in view of the impending changes it was inopportune to consider the question at the present moment Further it was considered that the present arrangement, whereby the Health Committee of the Municipal Commission scrutinised lay-out schemes, was working satisfactorily.

After some discussion the Municipal Commission agreed with the above views and resolved that the proposal of the Government to extend the provisions of the Bangalore City Improvement Act, 1945, to the Civil Station, be deferred for so long as the Civil Station Municipal Commission continued to be a separate Municipal body

(Sd). V. Puttarangaswamy,
President, M.C.

" Copy. "

[signature]

President, M. C.

MVL.
5-5-49.

[initials]

Statement showing the titles of enclosures

Sl No.	Titles of Subject	'To' or 'from' whom	Date of the enclosure	Remarks
1	A letter NO B, P R. 182/46-47 Bangalore South Taluk	from the S D O B'lore	15-4-47	Sl NO in file 1
2	Resolution of Lakkasandra V P	- -	11-3-47	2
3	List of Villages within five miles from B'lore city	- - -	4-6-47	3
4	Resolution of Jodi pantharapalya V P.	.	30-5-47	4
5	" of Jodi Deevati Ramanahally V.P	- -	30-5-47	5
6.	" of patnagere V P	.	30-5-47	6
7	" of Nagadevanahally V P	- - -	30-5-47	7
8	" of Kenchanahally V P	.	30-5-47	8
9.	" of Sonnenahally V P	- -	—	9
10	" of Valagerehally V P	- - -	29-5-47	10
11	" of Nayandahally V.P	- - - -	12-5-47	11
12	" of Mylasandra V P	- -	20-5-47	12
13.	" of Channasandra V P	- - -	24-5-47	13
14	" of Bikasipura V P	- -	24-5-47	14
15	" of Ittamadu V.P	-- -	24-5-47	15
16	" of Arahally V.P	- -	24-5-47	16
17	" of Vaddarapalya V.P	-	20-5-47	17
18	" of Marasandra V.P	- -	25-5-47	18
19	" of Chunchaghatta V P	- -	22-5-47	19
20	" of Kadirenahally V P	-	24-5-47	20
21	" of Karisandra V P	- - -	22-5-47	21
22	" of Puttenahally V P	-	22-5-47	22
23	" of Konamkunte V P	- -	22-5-47	23
24	" of Gubbalalu V P	- - -	22-5-47	24
25	" of Vasanthapura V P	- -	25-5-47	25
26	" of Jodi GoVinayakanahally V P		26-5-47	26
27	" of Yalachenahally V P	- - -	25-5-47	27
28	" of Sarakki V P	- - -	23-5-47	28
29	" of Ragasandra V.P	- - -	11-3-47	29
30	" of Dasarahally V P		8-4-47	30

Page 2

31	Resolution of Yadiyur-Nagarandra V.P		8-4-47	31
32	" of Thayappanahally V P		8-4-47	32
33	" of Avalahally V.P		11-3-47	33
34	" of Jaraganahally V P		12-3-47	34
35	" of Hoskerehally V P		13-3-47	35
36	" of Kathoraguppe V P		13-3-47	36
37	" of Harenahally V.P		10-3-47	37
38	" of Yadiyur V P		14-3-47	38
39	" of Nynappasettipalya V P		3-6-47	39
40	" of Bilekahally V.P		30-5-47	40
41	" of Bommanahally V P		30-5-47	42
42	" of Madivala V P		30-5-47	41
43	" of Rupena-Agrahara V P		30-5-47	43
44	" of Sarakki Agrahara V P		30-5-47	44
45	" of Madivala V P		11-3-47	45
46	" of Koramangala V P		11-3-47	46
47	" of Audgodi V P		11-3-47	47
48	" of Thavarekere V P		11-3-47	48
49	Report NO. V.P 30/46-47	from the Amildar B.S. Taluk to the Deputy Commissioner B'lore	8-4-47	49 & 50
	Memo B. PR 182/46-47	from the S.D.O B'lore to the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ Amildar B S	12-4-47	50
50	Report NO V P 30/46-47	from the Amildar B'lore South to the DC through the S D O B'lore	4-8-47	51

VP 35/46-47 Ref. No. M1 C2148/46-47 dated

2nd file 4 8 47 from the Deputy Commissioner

B'lore. (51)

Resubmitted through the Asst. Div. Officer B'lore Sub Div for kind perusal -

The village Panchayets are within a radius of five miles from the existing City Municipal boundaries. They have resolved that they are beyond five miles from B'lore city.

In some cases though the villages are beyond five miles, the lands belonging to these villages are within this limit, as such they are also included in the list.

If the Trust Board is not willing to include any of these village Panchayets, they may be excluded from the list after obtaining their opinion. A reference to the Trust Board in the matter may perhaps be made.

R. Basavarappa 15/8/47
Amildar
B'lore South Taluk 13/8/47

BA
20/8/47

Cf 1310-

NDIS No. BA-FR 182/46-47 [illegible]

Report

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. In view of the report of the Amildar it is requested that the authorities of the Trust Board may kindly be consulted in the matter.

G. S. [illegible]
2 9 47
Sub Division Officer
Bangalore Sub Division

Cf 3810
47-8

RD
2/9/47

VP 38/46-47

Office of the Amildar
Bangalore South Taluk
Dated Bangalore city 8th April 1947

(50)

From
The Amildar
Bangalore South Taluk
Bangalore

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Bangalore

Through the Sub-division Officer, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your memo No M7 PR 118-2148/46-47 dated 4-3-47 calling for a list of villages within five miles from Bangalore city together with the resolutions of the panchayets regarding the operation of the Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board act in these villages, I beg to enclose herewith the list of the villages and the resolutions of the concerned village panchayets except the villages on the Bangalore-Mysore Road which have already been included. The villages in the H A F [illegible] the Industrial Suburb area [illegible] are not included in the list.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
[signature]
Amildar
Bangalore South Taluk

Encls 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 24, 25, 26 & 27

Immediate

Memo

Returned to the Amildar B S Taluk for immediate report on the following points (1) It is seen from the resolutions of the Panchayats that the majority reject [illegible] as they do not want to come under the operation of the Trust Board [illegible] the total number will not be 45 as stated by them. This may be reexamined and a clear report sent very early.

[signature] 14-4-47

Memo

Returned to the Amildar, Bangalore South Taluk. The list of villages within 5 miles from Municipal limits together with the resolutions of the concerned Panchayets may be sent early as required by Govt.

[signature]
SDO

U.P 30 46-47
Enc/ file

Resubmitted

A list of villages that are within ~~[illegible]~~ five miles from the Bangalore city limits together with the resolutions of the village Panchayets is herewith submitted as directed. There are three villages of Begur Hobli within this limit which are included in the H A F Sanitary Board. The total number of villages that come within a radius 5 miles is 45. Enc. file accompanies

M. [illegible]
Amildar,
B'lore South Taluk
4/6/47

H
5/6/47

B1
[illegible]

B, PR 182/46-7
Encl. one file

Memo

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. The list of 45 villages within 5 miles from Bangalore City Municipal limits in the Bangalore South Taluk is herein enclosed together with the resolutions of the concerned Panchayets. As regards the 5 villages in the H.A.F Sanitary Board area, the H.A.F President of the Sanitary Board may be addressed.

G. Srinivasa Iyengar
5/6/47
Sub-Division Officer
Bangalore

Submitted for kind orders in the matter
10/6/47

Gl 17858

10673

My C 2148/46-47
Encl. file

Memo

Returned; since the S.D.O Bangalore Sub-Division is himself the Secretary of the Sanitary Board, he will seek instructions from the Pdt of the Bd and submit the proposals immediately.

There should be no further delay in this behalf.

B [illegible] 16/6
for Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dist
14/6/47

B1
18/6/47

To be placed before the Bd
[illegible] the Corporation papers
of Company
[illegible]
19/6

Placed before Board on 26/6/47
vide resolution no 10(2)
12/7/47

[redacted] within five miles from Bangalore City pertaining to Bangalore [redacted]

[redacted]ame of the Hobly	Name of the village	Date of the V P resolution	Remarks:—
Begur Hobly	1) Lakkasandra	11-3-47.	(49)
	2) Thavarekere	do—	
	3) Audgodi	10 3-47	
	4) Koramangala	11 3-47	
	5) Madivala	11 3 47	
II Uttarahally	6) Byrasandra	11 3 47	
	7) Dasarahally	8 4 47	
	8) Yediyur Nagasandra	8 4 47	
	9) Yediyur	14-3 47	
	10) Thayappanahally	8 4 47	
	11) Marenahally	10-3 47	
	12) Karisandra		
	13) Kathriguppa	13-3-47	
	14) Hosakerehally	13-3-47	
	15) Aralahally	11-3-47	
	16) Taragandahally	11-3-47	
III Kengeri	17) Devatharamanahally	Already included in the suburb al suburb area	
	18) Pantharapalya		
	19) Nayandahally		
IV Krishnarajapuram	These villages are included in the H A L Sanitary Board		
V Varthur			

M. [illegible]
Amildar
Bangalore South Taluk
9/4/47

48

[illegible]

[illegible]

Chairman

11/3/47

[illegible stamp]

46

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 11-3-47 [illegible]

[illegible]

1

[illegible]

[illegible]

2

[illegible]

[illegible]

[redacted]-5-1947 తేదీ (44)

[redacted] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ఇట్లు

[illegible]

[illegible] 30/5/47 [illegible]

(43)

[illegible]

[illegible] V P [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 5 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible]

A Suryanarayana Rao

[illegible]

శ్రీ) సం [illegible] 1947 సంవత్సరం (42)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 30-5-1947 [illegible] (41)

[illegible]

[illegible] 5 [illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible]

తా 30-5-1947 సంవత్సరం (40)

[redacted] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 30-5-47

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

S Nagappa

[illegible]

(47)

ಶಾನುಭೋಗರಿಗೆ —

[illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯ್ತಿ [illegible] ತಾ॥ 10-3-47 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರುವ [illegible]

(1) ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible] ವಿಚಾರ

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible]

[illegible] ಪಂಚಾಯ್ತಿ [illegible]

[illegible]

A. M. ಬಾಲಪ್ಪ
ಛೇರ್ಮನ್
11-3-47

ನಂ 37/46-47

ಶಾನುಭೋಗರಿಗೆ

[illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯ್ತಿ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ

ತಾ॥ 10-3-47 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ [illegible]

A. M. ಬಾಲಪ್ಪ
ಛೇರ್ಮನ್
11-3-47

No. 65-70 [illegible] 12/3/47

[illegible]

2

[illegible]

11-3-47

محمد شریف

[illegible]
[illegible]

(39)

[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
K Srinivasa Setty
3/6/47

[illegible]

7 14 3 1943 [illegible] (38)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1	[illegible]	[illegible]
2	" [illegible]	[illegible]
3	" [illegible]	[illegible]
4	" [illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

II [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10-3-67

[illegible]

[illegible] 2

[illegible]

True copy

B. Narayudiah [illegible]

Chairman

10/3/67

37

(36)

1947 [illegible] 13 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

6

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

a Copy [illegible]

[illegible] Rao
[illegible]
Chairman
13/7/47

(35)

1947 [illegible] 13 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

7

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

True copy

[illegible signature]
Chairman
13-3-47

[illegible] ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು [illegible]

34

1947 ನೇ [illegible]

[illegible]

ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಗ್ರಾಮ[illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮ[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
5	2
[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

ತಾ 12-3-47

[illegible]

1947 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

33

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

A. L. [illegible]

ಮೀಟಿಂಗ್ ಕಾಪಿ

[illegible]

ಹಾಜರು 4

ಗೈರುಹಾಜರು 3

(31)

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible] ಸಂಬಳ – ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible] [illegible]

ಶ್ಯಾ. ಸಹಿ

D K Narayana Rao
Shanbhag

ತಾ 8-4-47

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] (30)

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] V.P [illegible]

[illegible] 11—3—47

[illegible] 5   [illegible] 2

(29)

[illegible]   [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]   [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

V P Chairmen

[illegible] H.Y.

[illegible] 23-5-47 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

28

[illegible]

K Narayana Rao
Sh

[illegible] 25 [illegible]

ಸವಾಲು 5 [illegible]

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು Trust Board [illegible] Trust Board Act [illegible]

ಜವಾಬು 2 [illegible]

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮವು [illegible] Trust Board Act ನಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ [illegible]

(27)

yl 8724/46-7

Copy

[illegible signature]

28/5/47

ತಾರೀಖು 26-5-47 ರಲ್ಲಿ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Hamlet V P

[illegible] Trust Board
[illegible]
Trust Board Act [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
7 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Trust Board Act
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Copy
[illegible signature]
26-5-47

(26)

ತಾರೀಖು 25-5-47 ರಲ್ಲಿ ವಸಂತಪುರದ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರ
ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ನಡೆಸಿದ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ
[illegible]

ಬಹುಮತ — 4

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು Trust Board
limits ಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಬೇಕು
Trust Board Act ಪ್ರಕಾರ
ನಡೆಸಬೇಕು

ಅಲ್ಪಮತ — 3

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮವು ಸಿಟಿಗೆ 8 ಮೈಲಿ
ದೂರದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ Trust
Board Act ನಲ್ಲಿ
[illegible]
ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇಲ್ಲ

(25)

Copy
D.K. Gundu Rao
25/5/47

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

(24)

[illegible]

[illegible] ...ayan. [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

23

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

(22)

[illegible]

22 5 47

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

B. Narayan[illegible]

Sh

(21)

[illegible]

[illegible] 3

[illegible]

(20)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 5

[illegible]

[illegible]

19

[illegible]

[illegible] 25-5-47 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible] Trust Board limits [illegible] Trust Board Act [illegible]	[illegible] Trust Board Act [illegible]

(18)

Copy

[illegible signature]

25/5/47

[illegible] 20-5-47 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] Trust Board
[illegible] Trust
Board Act [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 7 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Trust Board
Act [illegible]
[illegible]

Copy

[illegible signature]

(17)

[illegible] 24-5-47 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] - 5

[illegible] Trust Board [illegible]
Trust Board Act [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Trust Board Act [illegible]
[illegible]

(16)

Copy
[illegible]

[illegible] 1-5-47 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Hamlet VP

[illegible] Trust Board [illegible] Trust Board
[illegible]
Act [illegible]

[illegible] Trust
Board Act [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

(15)

Copy
[illegible]

తేదీలు 24 5-47 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] (Hamlet V P)

[illegible] Trust Board
[illegible]
Trust Board Act [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Trust
Board Act [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Copy
[illegible]

(14)

[illegible] 26-5-47 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] Trust Board [illegible]
[illegible] Trust Board
Act [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] Trust Board Act [illegible]
[illegible]

(13)

[illegible]
[illegible]

(12)

[illegible] 20-5-47

[illegible]

[illegible]

H Gundappa
VP Chairman

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

11

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 29-5-47

(10)

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

(९)

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

(8)

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

(7)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

(5)

I [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] .47

(A)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

List of villages within five miles from Bangalore city municipal limits pertaining to Bangalore South Taluk

(3)

Sl No	Name of the village	Sl No	Name of the village	Sl No	Name of the village
	Begur Hobli —				
1)	Lakkasandra	19)	Basavanahally	41)	Kanchenahally
2)	Thavarekere	20)	Byrasandra	42)	Nagadevanahally
3)	Audgodi	21)	Sarakki	43)	Pattanagere
4)	Koramangala	22)	Jalachenahally	44)	Devara Ramanahally
5)	Madivala	23)	Govinayakanahally	45)	Pantharapalya
6)	Sarakki Agrahara	24)	Vasanthapura		
7)	Rupena Agrahara	25)	Gubbalala		
8)	Bommanahally	26)	Konanakunte		
9)	Bilakahally	27)	Puttenahally		
10)	Nyanappanahalli palya	28)	Karasandra		
	H A F Sanitary Board	29)	Kadirenahally		
1)	[illegible]pura	30)	Chunchaghatta		
2)	Somvagalu	31)	Marasandra		
3)	Jakkasandra	32)	Vaddarapalya		
II	Uttarahalli Hobli —	33)	Arehally		
11)	Yadiyur	34)	Ittamadu		
12)	Marenahally	35)	Banashankarapura		
13)	Kathriguppe	36)	Channasandra		
14)	Hosakerehally		Kengeri Hobli —		
15)	Jaraganahally	37)	Mylasandra		
16)	Avalahally	38)	Nayandahally		
17)	[illegible]yappanahally	39)	Valagerehally		
18	Yadiyur-Nagasandra	40)	Sonnenahally		

M. J. [illegible]
Amildar —
Bangalore South Tk
24/6/47

(5) (2) 2

11-3-47

Bangalore Sub Division,
Bangalore, dated 15th April 1947

To
The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore

M7
18 APR
90

Gc 15/50

Sir,

Sub: Extending the operations of the City Improvement Trust Board to a limit of 5 miles from the existing Municipal limits
-----

With reference to your Memo No M7-C 2148/46-7 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the Amildar, Bangalore South had submitted a list of villages within 5 miles from Bangalore City and not from within City Municipal limits. His report has been returned to him for resubmission with the list required very early.

On receipt of the same, it will be submitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[signature]
SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
BANGALORE SUB DIVISION

TMN/

Statement showing the ~~Details~~ Tittles of enclosures

Bangalore North Taluk

Sl No	Tittle of the Subject	'To' or 'from' whom	Date of the enclosure	Remarks
1	Report NO Aq PR 59/46-47	from the Amildar B N	10-3-47	No 1 & 2
2	A D O letter NO My C 2148/46-47	To the Amildar B'lore North	4-3-47	NO 3
3.	Resolution of Khayamgutta Village Panchayet	—	4-1-47	NO 4
4	Resolution of Thimmenahalli V P	—	2-1-47	n 5
5	n of Karethimmenahalli V P	—	1-1-47	n 6
6	n of Byatarayanapur V P	—	—	n 7.
7	n of Kariyannapalya and Porli Kacharakanahalli VPs		28-12-46	n 8
8	Resolution of Lingarajapura V P		28-12-46	n 9
9	n of Hebbal V P	- -	20-12-46	n 10
10.	n of Viswanathanaganahalli V P	- -	20-12-46	n 11
11	n of Gudadahalli V P	- -	20-12-46	n 12
12	n of Nagasettihalli V P	- - -	17-12-46	n 13
13	n of Geddalahalli V P	-	16-12-46	n 14
14	n of Cholanayakanahalli V P	- -	13-12-46	15
15	n of Devarajeevanahalli V P	-	25-12-46	16
16	n of Kadugondanahalli V P	- - -	25-12-46	17
17	n of Kaval Byrasandra V P	-	28-12-46	n 18
18	n of Nagavara V P	- -	2nd Jan 47	n 19
19	n of Hennur V P	- - -	20-12-47	- 20
20	Memo NO Aq PR 59/46-47	issued to Revenue inspector from the Amildar	7-11-46	n 21
21	Report NO 59/46-47	from R I to the Amildar B N	20-12-46	n 22
22	Resolution of Saneguruvanahalli V. P	.	19-12-46	n 23
23	n of Sivanahalli V P	-	19-12-46	n 24
24	n of Kethamaranahalli V P	- -	12-12-46	n 25
25	n of Yeswanthpur V P	- - -	12-12-46	n 26
26	Memo NO 59/46-47	issued to the R I from the Amildar B N Tq	7-11-46	27
27	n	issued to the R I Yelahanka Hobli	7-11-46	28
28	Reminder NO 2148/46-47	To the Amildar B N Tq	8-12-46	29
29	Memo NO B, P R 182/46-47	from the S D O B'lore to the Amildar B N T	2-12-46	

30	D O No. 2148/46-47	from the D D O to the S D O B'lore	31-1-47	
31	Memo No Hq 118-2148/46-47	To the Amildar B N	22-11-46	32
32	Memo No B, Gl. 3412/46-47	from the S D O B'lore to the Amildar B.N.	27-11-46	33
33	Memo No Hq RD3 118-2148/46-47	To the Amildar B N	30-10-46	34
34	Resolution of Matadahalli VP		8-12-46	35
35	" of Vyalikaval V.P		10-12-46	36
36	" of Gangenahalli VP		3-12-46	37
37	Report No. Aq FR 59/46-47	from the Amildar B N to the Sub-Division Officer B'lore	10-3-47	38
38	Report No "	To the Deputy Commissioner	10-3-47	39
39	A letter No. B, P R 182/46-47	from the S D O B'lore to the Deputy Commissioner B'lore Dist	2-4-47	40

Office of the Sub Division Officer
Bangalore Sub-Dn ,
Bangalore, dated 2nd April 1947.

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore

Sir,

Sub Extending the jurisdiction of the City Improvement Trust Board.

With reference to your Memo No M7-MB3-118-2148 of 46-47 dated 30-10-46 on the above subject, I have the honour to submit herewith the report received from the Amildar, Bangalore North with his report No Aq P R 59/46-47 dated 10-3-1947

As can be seen therefrom there are 24 villages as noted in the margin which come within the 5 mile limit from the Municipal boundary in the North Taluk The resolutions of the village panchayets agreeing to the proposal of the Trust Board are also enclosed for favour of reference

In the interest of the better regulation of layouts, and sanitation of the City and its surroundings it seems desirable to extend the jurisdiction of the City Improvement Trust Board to the limit proposed

The Amildar, Bangalore South has not yet submitted the report called for He has been reminded to-day and the same will be obtained and submitted early

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SUB DIVISION OFFICER
P T O BANGALORE SUB DIVISION

Bangalore North Taluk

Yeswanthpur Hobli.

1 Yeswanthpur
2 Kethmaranahalli
3 Sivanahalli
4. Saneguruvanahalli.

Kasaba Hobli.

5. Hennur
6 Nagavara
7 Kaval Byrasandra
8 Kadugondanahalli (Inam)
9 DevaraJeevanahalli(")
10 Cholanayakanahalli(")
12 Nagasettihalli
13 Gudoahalli
14 Viswanathanaganahalli
15 Hebbal
16 Lingarajapura
17 Jodi Kacharakanahalli.
19 Kariyannanapalya
20. Byatarayanapura
20. Karithimmanahalli
21 Kayamgutta Mathikere
22 Gangenahalli
23 Vyalikaval
24 Matadahalli

AGPR. 59 / 46-7

15 MAR

Office of the Amildar
Bangalore North Taluk
Bangalore
13-3-47
G1-12945

To, The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District,
Bangalore

(39)

Sir,

Subject: Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board B'lore to a limits of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries

With reference to copy of letter No. M7 6 2138/46-7, d. 8-3-47 regarding the above, I beg to report that necessary records have been duly completed and submitted to the Sub-Division Officer, Bangalore Sub-Division, Bangalore already in this office even no

Has it been received in the Plumes SDO [illegible] 15/3

d 10/3/47

I beg to remain Sir,
your most obedient servant
Krishna
Amildar
B'lore North

No. C-2148/46-47

Memo 13/3/47

Copy ~~forwarded~~ ~~forwarded~~ to the Sub-Division Officer, Bangalore Sub-Division, Bangalore, for immediate report together with the connected records referred to therein in this behalf

[signature] 17/3
for Deputy Commissioner
B'lore Dist.
17/3/47

[signature]
8060 MAR 1947

(38)

A.G.P.R. 59/46-7

Subject. Re: Extending the operations of the City Improvement Trust Board up to a limit of five miles around the City Municipal Limits.

Report

Gangenhalli
Palikaval
Vatadahalli

Submitted to the Sub Division Office, Bangalore, regarding the above, the enclosed V P resolutions of the marginally noted three villages only that had been left out in the previous report of even no already submitted to-day.

L H Venkataramanappa

A. Mudaliar 10/3/47
13' Revenue North

10/3/47

MAR 10 1947

[illegible]

[illegible] 3-12-1946 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

A 99 P R 59/46-47 Dated 7-11-46 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Act 1- Sub-2 [illegible]

[illegible] — . . . . .

[illegible]

"Extract" [illegible]

[illegible] (36)

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

[illegible] 10-12-46 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] A 9/PR 59/46 dated 7-11-46 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

"Extract"

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] (35)

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

× × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×

[illegible] 8-12-46 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] G.O. P.R. 59 dated 7-11-46 [illegible]
46.47

[illegible]

[illegible]

,, Extract ,,

[illegible]

Copy of letter No 667/46, dated 4th Sept 1946, from the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore

34

"I have the honour to invite reference to the following resolution approved unanimously by the Board at its meeting held on the 26th August 1946 re the issue of a notification extending the limit of the Board's boundaries and to request that early orders of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter and communicated"

"Resolved that under Sub Sec 2 of Secn 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, the operation of the Act be extended by a notification by Government in this behalf in the official Gazette, to a limit of 5 miles from the existing City Municipal boundaries and adjoining to it and that the Government be requested to take suitable action in this behalf "

"This resolution has been necessitated by the fact that several unauthorized private layouts without any sense of co-ordination with either Municipal or Trust Board Schemes are springing up all round the Municipal limits They are a menace to any planned development of the City The trust Board also proposes in the near future to take up schemes in the area within the 5 miles limit The inclusion of this area will be bringing into operation Sec 25 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act of 1945 and will help to stop the unauthorized la outs"

Copy of letter No L.4933/Z1, dated 12th October, 1946 from the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

"I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No 667 dated 4th September 1946, received from the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore regarding extending the limit of the Board's operations to the extent of five miles from the City Municipal boundaries and to request that your opinion in the matter may be kindly furnished early, together with the following information "

1 "The number and names of the villages that come within the five mile area referred to above

2 "Whether the village panchayets concerned are agreeable to the proposal "

----- --

Memo no A5-1301/46-7, dated 16th October, 46, from the Revenue Commissioner to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

"Copy of the above together with a copy of its enclosure is forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District for information together with his opinion on the proposal of the Board

He is also requested to furnish the other particulars required by Government together with the resolutions of the concerned village panchayets

The favour of a very early report is requested"

7776

(P T O)

M7 R B3 118-2148 / 46-47

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore,

Copy to Amildars of Bangalore North and South ...
Division Officer, Bangalore North ...
In the matter ...

TALUK OFFICE BANGALORE NORTH 31 OCT

for ...
Bangalore District.

8/4389

Memo sent to all the Rev Inspectors except Hessaraghatta R.I.
7/11/46

Office of the Sub Division Officer
Bangalore Sub Division,
Bangalore, dated 7th November 1946

(33)

Memo.

The attention of the Amildar, Bangalore North and South Taluks is drawn to the Deputy Commissioner's Memo No M7-R D.3-2148/46-47 regarding the proposal of the City Improvement Trust Board for the extension of its jurisdiction to a circumference of 5 miles round the City limits and he is requested to submit the particulars called for very early. There should not be any delay in the matter.

SUB DIVISION OFFICER
AND CIVIL OFFICER,
BANGALORE

TNN/

D.O.No A5-1301/46-47, dated 14th November, 1946, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, to G Ramachandra Rao, Esq , B A , Asst Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Secretariat, Bangalore

(32)

SUBJECT Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore, to a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries

----

"With reference to your D O letter no L 5952 dated 13th November, 1946 on the above subject, I am desired to state that the report called for from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in the matter, has not been received so far He has been reminded to-day On receipt of the report from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, a further communication will be made to Government"

Memo from the Revenue Commissioner to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangaloe District

"Copy of the above isforwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in continuation of this office memo of even number dated 18th October, 1946 with a request to expedite submission of the report in this behalf. This may be treated as extremely urgent"

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
(Development Branch),
Bangalore District,
Bangalore, dated 22nd November, 1946

(EXTREMELY URGENT)

No M7 118-2148-46-47

MEMO

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Amildars of Bangalore North and South Taluks and Sub-Division Officer, Bangalore Sub-division, for immediate submission of the report in the matter, in continuation of this office letter of even no , dated 31st October, 1946 Further delay in this behalf should be avoided

TALUK OFFICE BANGALORE NORTH

Reminder to be issued

for DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Bangalore District

S. Rangaiah, B A
DISTRICT DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

BANGALORE

Dated Jan. 31. 1947

R. No. 2149/46-7.

(REMINDER NO. 2.)

(37)

Dear Sir,

Re: Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore, to a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries.

I am desired to invite your kind reference to this office memo of even No. dated 31st October, 1946, on the above subject, and ~~its~~ subsequent reminders of 25.11.46 and 10.12.46, respectively, and to ~~to~~ request you to kindly expedite your reply thereto as the matter is extremely urgent.

Yours sincerely,

R Rau

4 FEB 1947

To

G. Siddananjappa, Esq., B A,
Sub-Division Officer,
Bangalore Sub-Division,
BANGALORE

6874

Put up ... remind ...
4/2

Memo

copy to both the Amaldars for immediate report

Sd/- 11.4.66
11.6.7

G Sivaraman
Supdt
Ex

Submitted
R I Kasara has been kindly directed to submit the needful records for return of posts

Shri Kasara R.I.

Sd/- 22/2/67

Noted

I have to request you to kindly send the records for the reference on receipt of this

Sd/-

(30)

The attention of the Amildars, Bangalore North and South is drawn to the Deputy Commissioner's Memo No.M7-118-2148/46-47 dated [illegible]-11-46 regarding extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board to a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries and they are requested to submit the report called for immediately as stringent reminders are being received.

G Sivananjiah

SUB DIVISION OFFICER,
BANGALORE SUB DIVISION

BANGALORE NORTH

TNN/

(29)

REMINDER

No. M7 2148 / 46-47

Date. 8-12-46

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

PLACE Bangalore

To

The Amildar
Bangalore North Taluk
B'lore city

Subject

Re extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board Bangalore, to a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries.

TALUK OFFICE BANGALORE NORTH 11 DEC

8/690 / 46-7

SIR,

(1) 2148	dated	31-10-46
(2)	dated	25-11-46
(3)	dated	

In inviting reference to the marginally noted communications ~~I have~~ the honour to request the favour of an early reply thereto

~~I have etc.~~

for Deputy Commissioner
Signature and Designation
Bangalore Dist.
8/12/46

[Reply Overleaf]

59

KCPR 326-47 [illegible]
Ex. [illegible]

Memo

(28)

The Revenue Inspector of Yellahanka hobli is requested to report within one week, without fail about the following matters — -

(1) The no and names of all such villages that come with in the limits of 5 miles from the existing City municipal boundaries and adjoining to its limits.

(2) Whether the village panchayats concerned are agreeable to the following proposal of the Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board brought up with a view to put an end to the springing up of unauthorised layouts by private persons around the City, —

214
[illegible]
21/11/46

" Resolved that under Section 2 of Section 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, the operation

PTO

of the Act be extended by a notification by Government in this behalf in the official Gazette to a limit of 5 miles from the existing City Municipal boundary and adjoining to it and that the Government be requested to take suitable action in this behalf.

V.P. resolutions of the concerned village panchayats should accompany his report. Very urgent.

C Narasimhaiah
Amildar
B'lore North
7/11/56

TALUK OFFICE BANGALORE

[illegible]

Submitted. There are no villages in this Hobli that come in the limits of five miles from the City Municipality. Villages start from 6th mile stone towards Bangalore D.B. Pur Road.

[signature]
29/11/56

Aq P.R 59 41-47
Extremely urgent:

Memo

(27)

The Revenue Inspector of Sivanti-pur hobli is requested to report within one week, positively, re. the following points.—

(1) The no. and names of the villages that come within the limit of 5 miles from the existing City Municipal boundaries and adjoining to it

*(2) Whether the village panchayats concerned are agreeable to the following proposal of the Bangalore City Improvement Trust Board, ~~made~~ with a view to put an end to the springing up of unauthorised private layouts around them by
"Resolved that under Sub-Sec 2 of Section 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, the operation of the Act be extended by a notification by Government in this behalf

P.T.O.

in the official Gazette, the limits of 5 miles from the existing City Municipal boundaries and adjoining limits and that the Government be requested to take suitable action in this behalf."

Resolutions of the villages in the matter should be sent with his report. This should be treated as very urgent.

C Narasimha Murthy

Amildar
B'lore North

R 20 11 46

[illegible]

7/1/'47

[illegible]

[illegible]

11-12-46

[illegible]

[illegible]

19-12-46

[illegible round stamp]

(26)

ತಾ 12-12-1946

[illegible] V.P [illegible]

[illegible] 9 — [illegible] 3

\+ +

[illegible] 7-11-46 [illegible] A9 PR 59/46-47
[illegible]
[illegible] 5 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] ಇಂಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

\+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

(ಸಹಿ) [illegible]

B Ramarao

Chairman / [illegible]

12/12/46

[illegible] 12-12-1946 [illegible]

V.P. [illegible]

[illegible]

VILLAGE PANCHAYET OFFICE
YET[illegible]HALLI
[illegible] NORTH TALUK

7 [illegible] 5 (25)

× × × ×

[illegible] 7-11-46 [illegible] A.D.P.R 5-9/46-47 [illegible]

[illegible]

True copy
[illegible signature]
V.P. Co[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(24)

[illegible]

(2). [illegible] (2) [illegible]

[illegible]

(1) [illegible]
(2) [illegible]
(3) [illegible]

[illegible]
(1) [illegible]
(2) [illegible]

[illegible]
14-12-46
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(23)

[illegible]

[illegible]

(2) [illegible] (2) [illegible]

[illegible]

(1) [illegible]

(2) [illegible]

(3) [illegible]

[illegible]

Date 19-12-46

[illegible]

Submitted

The U P Resolutions of 4th
have been submitted herewith for
kind perusal. H. Thakur(?)
20/12/46

Acq. check
22/12/46

(22)

617

[redacted]esolved that under Secti[on] of Section 1 of the City of Bangalore Improvement Act, the operation of the Act be extended by (21) a notification by Government in this behalf in the official Gazette to a limit of 5 miles from the existing City Municipal boundaries and adjoining to it and that the Government be requested to take suitable action in this behalf"

✓ Resolution of the concerned village panchayats in the matter should accompany his report. This is extremely urgent and the R.I. Kasaba should not delay this on any account.

Very urgent

C Narasimha[illegible]
for Amildar
7/11/46 Bangalore North

No. Ap 57/46(4) 67/1(4) R.P. Submitted
Byala R.I.

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] -7 — [illegible] = 5 —

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]/1/47 —

"Copy"

[illegible]

(20)

[illegible] 20-12-1946

[illegible]

5 [illegible]

[illegible]

2 [illegible]

I [illegible]

[illegible]

N S [illegible]

Chairman

[illegible] 20 12 1946

[illegible]

[illegible]

N S [illegible]

Chairman

(18)

29-12-46

[illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible] Ag p R $\frac{59}{46/47}$ [illegible]

[illegible]

25-12-46

(17)

[illegible]

5

× × × × × × × × × ×

[illegible]

[illegible] A G P R 59/47// [illegible] 2/11/48 [illegible]

[illegible]

× × × × × × × × × × ×

M. Fazalur Rahman
Chairman

ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಆಫೀಸು
ಕೋಡಿ
ದೇವರಜೀವನಹಳ್ಳಿ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ತಾ॥

ತಾ॥ 25-12-46

(16)

ದೇವರಜೀವನಹಳ್ಳಿ V P ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್.

ಹಾಜರು: 9 ಗೈರು ಹಾಜರ್ nil

× × × × × × × × × ×

ದೇವರಜೀವನಹಳ್ಳಿ [illegible] ನಿಜ ಇಂಕ್ರೀಸಿಂಗ್

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ [illegible].

ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 5 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ

[illegible] [illegible]

A G P R 59/46/47/ ತಾ 2/11/48 ರ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನು

ಓದಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು [illegible] ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ [illegible]

[illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮದ ನಿಜ ಇಂಕ್ರೀಸಿಂಗ್

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ Sch 1 — Sub. 2 [illegible]

[illegible] ನಿಜ ಇಂಕ್ರೀಸಿಂಗ್ [illegible]

[illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮದ

ಇಂಕ್ರೀಸಿಂಗ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ [illegible]

[illegible]

× × × × × × × ×

[signature]
Chairman

[illegible]

[illegible] 13-12-46 [illegible]

[illegible]

4

[illegible]

3

[illegible]

[illegible]

13/12/46 [illegible]

[illegible stamp]

[illegible]

(14)

6

ತಾ 16-12-46 [illegible]

[illegible] 5 [illegible]

[illegible]

S/ Krishna Murthy

Shanbogh

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (13)

[illegible] 17-12-46 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 45-46 [illegible] (173-4-0) [illegible]
[illegible] (31-0-[illegible]) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

17-12-46

20-12-46 (12)

[illegible]

[illegible] 5 [illegible] 2

+ + + + + + + + + + + + +

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] A.Q. P R 59/46-47 Dated 7-11-46

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Act 1 Sub 2 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

+ + + + + + + + + + +

(Sd) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(11)

<u>20-12-46</u>

[illegible] V-P [illegible]

ಹಾಜರು —— 5   ಗೈರು 2

\+ + + + + + + + + + + + + +

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] A-9 P R 59/46-47 D 7-11-46

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Act 1 / Sub 2 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

\+ + + + + + + + + +

[illegible]

H G Sivananda [illegible]
Shivaram [illegible]
and Chairman

20-12-46 (10)

Hebbal — Spl meeting

[illegible] 8 — [illegible]

\+ + + + + + + + + + + + +

[illegible]

[illegible]

A g P R 59/46—47 Dated 7-11-46

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Act 1 Sub 2 [illegible] Section [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

\+ + + + + + + + + + + + + +

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

తే 28/12/46.

[illegible]

మేనేజరు, హైదరాబాదు, (9)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] A G P.R 59/47/ తే 2/11/48 తే [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Act 1 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

patel

[illegible]

తే 28-12-46

(4)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Patel. A pillappa [illegible]

[illegible]

బెంగళూరు నార్త్ తాలూకా [illegible] జిల్లా

బెంగళూరు తాలూకా [illegible] [redacted] [illegible]

[illegible] విలేజ్ [illegible] బెంగళూరు [illegible]

[illegible] స్ట్రీటు [illegible]

రాజం
7 జన

[illegible]
ఇల్లా

[illegible] బెంగళూరు [illegible]

[illegible] బెంగళూరు [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] తాలూకా [illegible] A V P R 59 46-47

తా 7 11 46 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1 నెం [illegible] నంబర్

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
V P [illegible]

[illegible] 1-1-47 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

K [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1-1-47 [illegible]

(6)

2-1-1947.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6- [illegible] 3 (5)

+ + + + +

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

A.J.P.R. 59/47/ [illegible] 2/11/46 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

+ + + + + + +

[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2-1-1947

[illegible] 4 / 1944 [illegible]

[illegible]

(5)

[illegible] [illegible]

x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

A-G-PR 69/46 47/47 7/11/46 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] A [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

4-1-44

[illegible]

Mr A C. NIRVANI GOWDA, B A
Deputy Commissioner
D C No 17 2148/46-7

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore March 4, 1947

(3)

Re Extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore, to a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries
------****------

Dear Sir,

I write to invite your reference to this office memo of even No dated 31st October, 1946, on the above subject, and subsequent reminders, dated 25th November, 1946, 10th December, 1946 and 31st January, 47, respectively, and to request you to submit your reply in the matter by return post, positively. The delay is unsatisfactory.

Yours sincerely,
[signature]

To
S H Venkatesh, Esq , B A ,
Amildar,
Bangalore North Taluq

[illegible]

AQPR 59/46/47 [illegible]

(2)

Report

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

A R Byiah
R I

26/2/47

AQPR 59/46-7
(Cendi: V P resolutions)

Refrence Bangalore Dist Deputy Commissioner's memo No M7-RD3 118-2148 d 30-10-1936 calling for a report re the opinion of ~~the~~ all the

village Panchayats that are included within a limit of five miles from the existing Municipal Boundaries in the matter of extending the operation of the City Improvement Trust Board up to the said extent.

Report

Submitted to the Sub-Division Officer, Bangalore.

With reference to the above, the V P resolutions of the marginally noted 21 villages of Kasaba and Yesvantpur hoblies for favour of perusal. Except the V P. of Cholanaikanahalli of Kasaba hobli all the rest are agreeable for the proposal of the Board to reserve all building schemes for itself in the area with in the 5 miles limit, in future, around the

...pur
maranahalli
...vanahalli
...ne
...ravanahalli
...nnur
...puvara
...
...prasandra
Kadagondanahalli (Inam)
Deverjeevanahalli "
Cholanaikanahalli "

(Contd in next page)